

Figure 52. General Land Use and NPDES Permits in the Upper Gila Watershed

Upper Gila Watershed Assessment Discussion

Statistical Summary of Surface Water Assessments

Assessments – For the 2002 assessment, 320 stream miles and 153 lake acres were assessed. This assessment reflects data collected in 2000 when one of two focus watersheds.

Water quality assessment information for the Upper Gila Watershed is summarized in the following tables and illustrated on **Figure 53**.

Table 27. Assessments in the Upper Gila Watershed – 2002

	STREAMS		LAKES	
	miles	number of segments	acres	number of lakes
ATTAINING	252	17	33	2
INCONCLUSIVE	49	3	0	0
IMPAIRED	19	2	0	0
NOT ATTAINING	0	0	120	1
TOTAL ASSESSED	320	22	153	3

PERENNIAL SURFACE WATERS ASSESSED		STREAMS		LAKES	
		miles	number of segments	acres	number of lakes
	Assessed	320	22	153	3

* Note that streams with significant perennial stretches within the reach assessed were included in the perennial mileage although part of the reach may have ephemeral or intermittent flow.

Inconclusive Assessments – Surface waters with some monitoring data, but insufficient data to determine if a designated use is attaining or impaired, were added to the new Planning List. By the end of the next watershed monitoring cycle (scheduled in 2005), ADEQ expects to monitor most of these reaches so that all designated uses can be assessed during the following assessment cycle. Other lakes and streams which lack monitoring data will also be monitored

depending on resources and priorities.

ADEQ will be working with US Geological Survey and the Arizona Game and Fish Department, so that their future monitoring efforts will better support Arizona's surface water assessments.

Major Stressors – When a surface water is listed as impaired or not attaining a designated use, the pollutants or suspected pollutants causing the impairment are identified. In this watershed, two reaches were impaired by turbidity.

A nutrient TMDL was completed and approved by EPA for Luna Lake in 2000. Currently, ADEQ is scheduling monitoring to evaluate the effectiveness of TMDL implementation strategies.




Figure 53. Upper Gila Watershed Surface Water Assessments – 2002

TABLE 28. UPPER GILA WATERSHED - MONITORING DATA - 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY AND PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
STREAM MONITORING DATA								
Ash Creek headwaters-Gila River AZ15040005-040 A&Wc, FC, FBC, AgL	ADEQ Fixed Station Network @ Forest Road #307 UGA1H008.62 100630	2000 - 3 suites	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 90% Saturation (A&Ww)	6.8-8.2 68-108%	1 of 3		
	Summary Row A&Wc Inconclusive FC Attaining FBC Attaining AgL Attaining	2000 3 sampling events	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 90% Saturation (A&Ww)	6.8-8.2 68-108%	1 of 3	Inconclusive	ADEQ collect 3 samples in 2000. Reach assessed as "attaining some uses." Add to Planning List due to one low dissolved oxygen.
Blue River New Mexico -KP Creek AZ15040004-026 A&Wc, FC, FBC, AgL, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria & Fixed Station Below Jackson Box (upper) UGBLR033.04 100419	1996 - 1 suite 2000 - 3 suites, 1 field	OK					
	Summary Row A&Wc Attaining FC Attaining FBC Attaining AgL Attaining AgL Attaining	1996-2000 4 sampling events	OK				Attaining	ADEQ collected 4 samples in 1996-2000. Reach assessed as "attaining all uses."
Blue River KP Creek-San Francisco River AZ15040004-025 A&Wc, FC, FBC, AgL, AgL	ADEQ Fixed Station Network @ Juan Miller Road UGBLR005.68 100398	1996 - 6 suites 1999 - 5 suites 2000 - 4 suites	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 (A&Wc) 90% Saturation	6.3-9.6 88-115%	1 of 14		
			Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	<1-22	2 of 14		
	ADEQ Biocriteria program Above Fritz Ranch (lower) UGBLR008.07 100420	1996 - 1 suite	OK					
	ADEQ Fixed Station Network Below K P Creek UGBLR021.95 100835	2000 - 4 suites	OK					
	AGFD @ Stacey Crossing UGBLR	1997 - 1 suite	OK					
	Summary Row A&Wc Attaining FC Attaining FBC Attaining AgL Attaining AgL Attaining	1996-2000 21 samples 17 sampling events	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 (A&Wc) 90% Saturation	6.3-9.6 88-115%	1 of 16	Attaining	ADEQ collected 20 samples at three sites and AGFD collected one sample in 1996-2000. Reach assessed as "attaining all uses."
			Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	<1-22	2 of 16	Attaining	

TABLE 28. UPPER GILA WATERSHED – MONITORING DATA – 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY AND PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					COMMENTS
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	
Bonita Creek Park Creek-Gila River AZ15040005-030 A&Ww, FC, FBC, DWS, AgL Unique Waters	ADEQ Fixed Station and TMDL Above Gila River UGBON000.20 100185	1997 - 1 suite, 5 metals 1998 - 1 suite 2000 - 4 suites	OK					
	ADEQ Stream Ecosystem Mon. Below infiltration gallery UGBON003.2 100186	1997 - 1 suite	OK					
	ADEQ Biocriteria program Above Gila River UGBON004.82 100421	1997 - 1 suite	OK					
	ADEQ Stream Ecosystem Mon. Below Lines Canyon UGBON007.9 100187	1997 - 1 suite	OK					
	ADEQ Fixed Station & Stream Ecosystem Monitoring Below Indian lands UGBON011.31 100188	1997 - 1 suite 2000 - 4 suites	OK					
	Summary Row	1997-2000	OK				Attaining	ADEQ collected a total of 19 samples at 5 sites in 1997-2000. Reach assessed as "attaining all uses."
	A&Ww Attaining FC Attaining FBC Attaining DWS Attaining AgL Attaining	19 samples 6 sampling events						
Campbell Blue Creek headwaters-Blue River AZ15040004-028 A&Wc, FC, FBC, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria & Fixed Station Above K E Canyon UGCMB002.16 100522	1996 - 1 suite 2000 - 4 suites	OK					
	Summary Row	1996-2000	OK				Attaining	ADEQ collected 5 samples in 1996-2000. Reach assessed as "attaining all uses."
	A&Wc Attaining FC Attaining FBC Attaining AgL Attaining	5 sampling events						
Cave Creek headwaters-USFS boundary AZ15040006-852A A&Wc, FC, FBC, AgL, AgL Unique Waters	ADEQ Unique Waters & Fixed Station Below ranger station UGCAV006.55 100937	1997 - 1 suite 1998 - 1 suite 1999 - 1 suite 2000 - 3 suites	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	<1-64	1 of 6		Exceedance occurred during very high flow (normally <1 cfs, flow at 65 cfs). Not included in final assessment.

TABLE 28. UPPER GILA WATERSHED – MONITORING DATA – 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY AND PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
	ADEQ Unique Waters & Fixed Station Below North Fork Cave Creek UGCAV007.64 100933	1997 - 1 suite 1999 - 1 suite 2000 - 4 suites	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	<1-15	1 of 6		Exceedance occurred during very high flow (flow at 48 cfs while normally <1 cfs).
	ADEQ Unique Waters Program Above SW Research Station UGCAV008.49 11106	1997 - 1 suite 1998 - 1 suite 1999 - 1 suite	OK					
	ADEQ Unique Waters Program Above septic systems for summer homes UGCAV008.92 101107	1997 - 1 suite 1999 - 1 suite	OK					
	ADEQ Unique Waters Program Above Herb Martyr Campground UGCAV016.3 101108	1997 - 1 suite 1998 - 1 suite 1999 - 1 suite	OK					
	Summary Row A&Wc Attaining FC Attaining FBC Attaining Agl Attaining Agl Attaining UW Attaining	1997-2000 20 samples 7 sampling events	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	<1-54	2 of 20	Attaining	ADEQ collected 20 samples at 5 sites in 1997-2000. Reach assessed as "attaining all uses."
Cave Creek USFS boundary-New Mexico AZ15040006-852B A&Wc, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ Unique Waters Program Above South Fork of Cave Creek UGCAV007.70 101105	1997 - 1 suite 1998 - 1 suite 1999 - 1 suite	OK					
	ADEQ Unique Waters Program Below South Fork of Cave Creek UGCAV007.46 11104	1997 - 1 suite 1998 - 1 suite 1999 - 1 suite	OK					
	Summary Row A&Wc Attaining FC Attaining FBC Attaining Agl Attaining Agl Attaining	1997-1999 6 samples 3 sampling events	OK				Attaining	ADEQ collected 6 samples at 2 sites in 1997-1999. Reach assessed as "attaining all uses."

TABLE 28. UPPER GILA WATERSHED – MONITORING DATA – 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY AND PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
Coleman Creek headwaters-Campbell Blue AZ15040004-040 A&Wc, FC, FBC, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria program Below Turkey Creek UGCOL002.49 100523	1996 - 1 suite	OK					
	Summary Row	1999 1 sampling events					Not assessed	Insufficient data to assess.
Eagle Creek headwaters-Willow Creek AZ15040005-026 A&Wc, FC, FBC, DWS, AgL, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria & Fixed Station Above Honeymoon Campground UGEAG035.99 100535	1996 - 1 suite 2000 - 4 suites	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 90% saturation (A&Wc)	5.8-8.2 (77-98%)	1 of 5		Staff documented that low dissolved oxygen was due to ground water upwelling that is naturally low in dissolved oxygen; therefore, not considered in the final assessment.
	Summary Row A&Wc Attaining FC Attaining FBC Attaining DWS Attaining AgL Attaining AgL Attaining	1996-2000 5 sampling events	OK				Attaining	ADEQ collected 5 samples in 1996-2000. Reach assessed as "attaining all uses."
Eagle Creek Willow Creek-Sheep Wash AZ15040005-027 A&Wc, FC, FBC, DWS, AgL, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria & Fixed Station Below Sheep Wash Crossing UGEAG023.34 100536	2000 - 4 suites	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	4-13	1 of 4		
	Summary Row A&Wc Inconclusive FC Attaining FBC Attaining DWS Attaining AgL Attaining AgL Attaining	2000 4 samples	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	4-13	1 of 4	Inconclusive	ADEQ collected four samples in 2000. Reach assessed as "attaining some uses." Add to Planning List due to turbidity exceedance.
Eagle Creek Sheep Wash-Gila River AZ15040005-025 A&Wc, FC, FBC, DWS, AgL, AgL	ADEQ TMDL Program At confluence with Gila River UGEAG000.05 100817	1997 - 5 suites (1 suite, and 4 field, copper, zinc), 1998 - 1 suite	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 90% saturation (A&Wc)	5.6-10.0	1 of 6		Staff documented that low dissolved oxygen was due to ground water upwelling that is naturally low in dissolved oxygen; therefore, not considered in the final assessment.
			Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	1-233	2 of 6		
	ADEQ Fixed Station Network Below Gold Gulch @Morend UGEAG006.05 100806	2000 - 4 suites	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Vc)	<1-26	1 of 4		
	Summary Row A&Wc Inconclusive FC Attaining FBC Attaining DWS Attaining AgL Attaining AgL Attaining	2000 10 sampling events	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	<1-233	3 of 10	Inconclusive	ADEQ collected 10 samples at 2 sites in 1997-2000. Reach assessed as "attaining some uses." Add to Planning List due to turbidity exceedances.

TABLE 28. UPPER GILA WATERSHED – MONITORING DATA – 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY AND PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
East Turkey Creek headwaters -San Simon AZ15040006-637 A&Wc, FC, FBC, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria program Above Forest Road 42 UGETK007.70 100545	1998 - 1 suite	OK					
	Summary Row	1998 1 sampling events					Not assessed	Insufficient data to assess.
Frye Creek headwaters-Highline Canal AZ15040005-988 A&Wc, FC, FBC, AgL, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria and Fixed Station At first crossing of Trail 36UGFRY007.00 100720	1996 - 1 suite 2000 - 3 suites	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 90% saturation (A&Wc)	6.3-7.8 (73-88%)	2 of 4		Staff documented that low dissolved oxygen was due to ground water upwelling that is naturally low in dissolved oxygen; therefore, not considered in the final assessment.
	Summary Row A&Wc Attaining FC Attaining FBC Attaining AgL Attaining	1996-2000 4 samples	OK				Attaining	ADEQ collected 4 samples in 1996-2000. Reach assessed as "attaining all uses."
Gila River NM border-Bitter Creek AZ15040002-004 A&Ww, FC, FBC, AgL, AgL	USGS Station #0943200 Below Blue Creek, Virden, NM UGGLR213.01 100728	1998 - 6 suites 1999 - 5 suites 2000 - 4 suites	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	1-130	3 of 15		Samples taken in New Mexico. Used only as supporting data. Not included in final assessment.
	ADEQ Fixed Station Network Duncan @ New Mexico border UGGLR205.35 100808	2000 - 2 suites	OK					
	USGS Station #09431500 Near Redrock, New Mexico UGGLR219.53	1996 - 6 suites 1999 - 3 suites 2000 - 3 suites	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	<1-10,000	1 of 7		Samples taken in New Mexico. Used only as supporting data. Not included in final assessment.
	Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive AgL Inconclusive AgL Inconclusive	1996-2000 2 samples (in Arizona)					Inconclusive	ADEQ collected 2 samples in 2000. Reach assessed as "inconclusive" because of insufficient data collected in Arizona. Exceedances in New Mexico and downstream in Arizona suggest that turbidity may be impairing this reach.
Gila River Skully Creek-San Francisco AZ15040002-001 A&Ww, FC, FBC, AgL, AgL	ADEQ TMDL Program Above San Francisco River UGGLR195.11 100810	1997 - 1 suite + 4 metals, nutrients 1998 1 suite	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	7-1000	5 of 6		
	ADEQ Fixed Station Network Above Old Safford Bridge UGGLR197.26 100809	2000 - 4 suites	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	2-65	1 of 4		

TABLE 28. UPPER GILA WATERSHED – MONITORING DATA – 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY AND PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					COMMENTS
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	
	Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Attaining FBC Attaining Agl Attaining AgL Attaining	1997-2000 10 sampling events	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	2-1000	6 of 10	Inconclusive	ADEQ collected 10 samples at 2 sites in 1997-2000. Reach assessed as "attaining some uses." Add to Planning List due to turbidity exceedances.
Gila River San Francisco River-Eagle Cr AZ15040005-024 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ TMDL Program Above Eagle Creek UGGLR193.68 100812	1997 - 1 suite + 4 field, Cu, Zn, 1998 - 1 suite	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	7-458	6 of 6		
	ADEQ TMDL Program Below San Francisco River UGGLR194.91 100811	1997 - 1 suite + 4 field, metals 1998 - 1 suite	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	6-701	6 of 6		
	Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Attaining FBC Attaining Agl Attaining AgL Attaining	1997-2000 12 samples 6 sampling events	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	6-701	12 of 12	Inconclusive	ADEQ collected a total of 12 samples at 2 sites in 1997-2000. Reach assessed as "attaining some uses." Add to Planning List due to turbidity exceedances.
Gila River Eagle Creek-Bonita Creek AZ15040005-023 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ TMDL Program Below Eagle Creek UGGLR193.47 100813	1997 - 1 suite + 4 field, Cu, Zn, 1998 - 1 suite	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	10-356	5 of 6		
	ADEQ TMDL Program Above Bonita Creek UGGLR190.39 100814	1997 - 4 suites + 1 field, bacteria 1998 - 1 suite	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	12-413	4 of 6		
	Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Attaining FBC Attaining Agl Attaining AgL Attaining	1997-1998 12 samples 6 sampling events	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	12-413	9 of 12	Inconclusive	ADEQ collected 6 samples in 1997-2000. Reach assessed as "attaining some uses." Add to Planning List due to turbidity exceedances.
Gila River Bonita Creek-Yuma Wash AZ15040005-022 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ TMDL Program Below Bonita Creek UGGLR190.45 100815	1997 - 5 suites 1998 - 1 suite	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	11-630	5 of 6		
	USGS Station #09448500 Solomon above Safford Valley UGGLR188.98 100729	1996 - 6 suites 1997 - 6 suites 1998 - 6 suites 1999 - 5 suites 2000 - 4 suites	Escherichia coli CFU	580 (FBC)	<1- 2500	1 of 27		
			Fecal coliform CFU	4000 (A&Ww, Agl, AgL)	1-10000	2 of 27 more than 5 years apart		
			Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	<1-3000	8 of 27		

TABLE 28. UPPER GILA WATERSHED – MONITORING DATA – 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY AND PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
	Summary Row	1996-2000 33 samples	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	<1-3000	13 of 33	Impaired	ADEQ collected 6 samples and USGS collected 27 samples in 1996-2000. Reach assessed as "Impaired" due to turbidity.
	A&Ww Impaired		Escherichia coli CFU	580 (FBC)	<1- 2500	1 of 27	Attaining	
	FC Attaining		Fecal coliform CFU	4000 (A&Ww, Agl, AgL)	1-10000	2 of 33 >5 years apart	Attaining	
	FBC Attaining							
K P Creek headwaters-Blue River AZ15040004-029 A&Wc, FC, FBC, Agl, DWS	ADEQ Fixed Station Network @ Blue River UGOKP000.08 100889	2000 - 2 suites + 1 nutrients + field, bacteria	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	6.2-7.6 (65-91%)	2 of 4		Staff documented that low dissolved oxygen was due to ground water upwelling that is naturally low in dissolved oxygen; therefore, not considered in the final assessment.
	ADEQ Fixed Station Network Below K P Cienega UGOKP006.59 100888	2000 - 1 suite	OK					Intermittent or ephemeral flow.
	Summary Row	2000 4 samples 3 sampling events Missing core parameters	OK				Inconclusive	ADEQ monitored 2 sites in 2000. Reach assessed as "Inconclusive" due to insufficient metals samples.
	A&Wc Inconclusive							
North Fork Cave Creek headwaters - Cave Creek AZ15040006-856 A&Wc, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL Unique Waters	ADEQ Unique Waters Program Above confluence with Cave Cr. UGNCV000.03 101129	1999 - 1 suite	OK					
	Summary Row	1999 1 sampling event					Not assessed	Insufficient data to assess.
San Francisco River headwaters-New Mexico AZ15040004-023 A&Wc, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ Fixed Station Network Above Luna Lake UGSFR059.98 100381	1996 - 4 suites + 2 bacteria 1999 - 2 suites 2000 - 2 suites	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	5.6-9.4 (72-100%)	1 of 8		
			Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	6-61	7 of 8		
	Summary Row	2000 10 sampling events	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	5.6-9.4 (72-100%)	1 of 8	Inconclusive	ADEQ collected 10 samples in 1997-2000. Reach assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to the Planning List due to DO and turbidity exceedances.
	A&Wc Inconclusive		Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	6-61	7 of 8	Inconclusive	

TABLE 28. UPPER GILA WATERSHED – MONITORING DATA – 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY AND PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					COMMENTS
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	
San Francisco River New Mexico-Blue River AZ15040004-004 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ Fixed Station Network Near Martinez Ranch UGSFR017.66 100834	2000 - 4 suites	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	3-74	1 of 4		Missing core parameters: insufficient metals samples.
	Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive Agl Inconclusive AgL Inconclusive	2000 4 sampling events Missing core parameters	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	3-74	1 of 4	Inconclusive	ADEQ collected 4 samples in 2000. Reach assessed as "inconclusive" and added to the Planning List due to missing core parameters (metals) and turbidity exceedances.
San Francisco River Blue R.-Limestone Gulch AZ15040004-003 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ TMDL Program and Fixed Station 6 miles above Clifton (& mining) UGSFR011.29 100708	1997 - 5 suites 1998 - 1 suite 1999 - 3 suites 2000 - 4 suites	Beryllium µg/L	0.21 (FC)	1.1	1 of 1		9 other beryllium samples were not included because the detection limit was too high to assess Fish Consumption.
			Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	1-872	4 of 11		
	Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Attaining Agl Attaining AgL Attaining	1997-2000 13 sampling events	Beryllium	0.21 (FC)	1.1	1 of 1	Inconclusive	ADEQ collected 13 samples in 1997-2000. Reach assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to the Planning List due to turbidity and beryllium exceedances
			Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	1-872	4 of 11	Inconclusive	
San Francisco River Limestone Gulch-Gila River AZ15040004-001 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ TMDL Program At confluence with Gila River UGSFR000.04 100818	1997 - 6 suites 1998 - 1 suite	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	3-176	2 of 6		
	ADEQ TMDL Program and Fixed Station Below Clifton (below mining) UGSFR003.04 100382	1996 - 6 suites 1997 - 4 suites + 1 metals, inorganics 1998 - 4 suites + 2 bacteria + 1 nutrients, metals 1999 - 5 suites 2000 - 4 suites	Beryllium µg/L	0.21 (FC)	<0.5-12.5	2 of 23		
			Beryllium µg/L	4 (FBC)	<0.5-12.1	1 of 23		
			Copper (dissolved) µg/L	varies (62) (A&Ww)	<10-171	1 of 29		
			Dissolved oxygen mg/L	6.0 (90% saturation) (A&Ww)	5.2-10.3 (82-133%)	2 of 27		
			Escherichia coli CFU	580 (FBC)	<2-3,20	1 of 24		
			Fecal coliform CFU	4000	<2-4,60	1 of 20		
			Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	<1-100	7 of 27		Only two samples were related to high flows.

TABLE 28. UPPER GILA WATERSHED – MONITORING DATA – 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY AND PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
	Summary Row	2000	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	3-176	9 of 33	Impaired	ADEQ collected 33 samples at two sites in 1996-2000. Reach assessed as "Impaired" due to turbidity.
	A&Ww	33 samples 26 sampling events	Beryllium µg/L	0.21 (FC)	<0.5-12.5	2 of 23	Attaining	
	FC		Beryllium µg/L	4 (FBC)	<0.5-12.5	1 of 23	Attaining	
	FBC		Copper (dissolved) µg/L	varies (62) (A&Ww)	<10-170	1 of 29	Attaining	
	AgI		Dissolved oxygen mg/L	6.0 (90% saturation) (A&Ww)	5.2-10.3 (82-133%)	2 of 27	Attaining	
	AgL		Escherichia coli CFU	500 (FBC)	<2-3,200	1 of 24	Attaining	
			Fecal coliform CFU	4000	<2-4,800	1 of 20	Attaining	
South Fork Cave Creek headwaters-Cave Creek AZ15040006-849 A&Wc, FC, FBC, AgI, AgL Unique Waters	ADEQ Unique Waters Program Above confluence with Cave Cr. UGSCV000.12 101109	1997 - 1 suite 1998 - 1 suite 1999 - 1 suite	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 90% saturation (A&Wc)	6.2-7.8 (85.6-97.4)	1 of 3		Staff documented that low dissolved oxygen was due to ground water upwelling that is naturally low in dissolved oxygen; therefore, not considered in the final assessment.
	ADEQ Biocriteria & Fixed Station Above South Fork Campground UGSCV002.26 100639	1997 - 1 field, nutrients, inorganics 1998 - 1 suite 1999 - 1 suite + 1 - metals, inorganics 2000 - 4 suites	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 90% saturation (A&Wc)	3.6-7.9 (39.5- 91.3%)	3 of 7		Staff documented that low dissolved oxygen was due to ground water upwelling that is naturally low in dissolved oxygen; therefore, not considered in the final assessment.
			Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	<1-36	1 of 7		Very high flow (normally < 1 cfs, flow at 22 cfs). Pristine watershed.
	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Above South Fork Campground UGSCV002.45 100640	1998 - 1 suite	OK					
	Summary Row	1997-2000	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	<1-36	1 of 11	Attaining	ADEQ collected a total of 12 samples at 2 sites in 1997-2000. Reach assessed as "attaining all uses."
	A&Wc	11 samples 7 sampling events						
	FC							
	FBC							
	AgI							
	AgL							
	UW							

TABLE 28. UPPER GILA WATERSHED – MONITORING DATA – 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY AND PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					COMMENTS	
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT		
LAKES MONITORING DATA									
Dankworth Pond AZL15040005-0440 A&Wc, FC, FBC	ADEQ Lakes Program UGDAN-A 100018	1997 - 4 suites 2000 - 3 suites	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	4.3-8.1 60-86%	5 of 6		Staff documented that low dissolved oxygen was due to ground water upwelling that is naturally low in dissolved oxygen; therefore, not considered in the final assessment. Naturally high fluoride levels in ground water. Exceedances not included in final assessment. Missing core parameters: <i>Escherichia coli</i>	
			Fluoride mg/L	8.4 (FBC)	14-17	7 of 7			
			Selenium µg/L	20 (A&Wc)	<5-25	1 of 7			
	ADEQ Lakes Program UGDAN-B 100987	2000 - 2 nutrients, field	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	4.4-7.7 (50-102%)	1 of 2			
	ADEQ Lakes Program UGDAN-Spring 1 (pond) 100988	2000 - 2 suites + 1 pH, DO	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	3.6-5.8 (57-75%)	3 of 3			
			Fluoride mg/L	8.4 (FBC)	12.0-13.0	2 of 2			
	ADEQ Lakes Program UGDAN-Springs 2, 3, 4 100990, 100991, 100992	1997 - 1 suite 2000 - 1 suite (at 3 springs)	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	0.1-2.6	4 of 4			
			Fluoride mg/L	8.4 (FBC)	12.0-17.0	2 of 2			
	Summary Row		1997-2000	OK				Attaining	ADEQ collected a total of 13 samples at 4 sites in 1997-2000. Lake assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to the Planning List due to missing core parameters (bacteria).
	A&Wc Attaining FC Attaining FBC Inconclusive	13 samples 7 sampling events Missing bacteria samples							
Luna Lake AZL15040004-0840 A&Wc, FC, FBC, AgL	AGFD Routine Monitoring UGLUN	1997 - 1 suite 1998 - 2 suites	pH SU	6.5-9.0 (A&Wc, FBC, AgL)	8.4-9.9	2 of 3		Missing core parameters: <i>Escherichia coli</i>	
	ADEQ Lakes Program UGLUN-A 100036	1997 - 4 suites 2000 - 1 suite	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	5.4-10.1 (51-145%)	1 of 5			
			pH SU	6.5-9.0 (A&Wc, FBC, AgL)	7.2-9.7	2 of 5			
	ADEQ Lakes Program UGLUN-B 100979	2000 - 1 suite	OK						
	Summary Row		1997-2000	pH SU	6.5-9.0 (A&Wc, FBC, AgL)	7.29-9.9	4 of 8	Not attaining	ADEQ collected 6 samples and AGFD collected 3 samples in 1997-2000. Reach assessed as "not attaining" due to exceedances and a TMDL completed and approved by EPA in 2000 for high pH and narrative nutrients. Added to the Planning List for effectiveness monitoring .
	A&Ww Not attaining FC Attaining FBC Not attaining AgL Not attaining	9 samples 7 sampling events Missing bacteria samples	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	5.4-10.1 (51-145%)	1 of 8	Inconclusive		

TABLE 28. UPPER GILA WATERSHED – MONITORING DATA – 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY AND PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					COMMENTS
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	
Roper Lake AZL15040005-1250 A&Ww, FC, FBC	ADEQ Lakes Program UGROP - A 100080	1997 - 4 suites 2000 - 3 suites	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	5.4-9.1 (74-105%)	2 of 5		Staff documented that low dissolved oxygen was due to ground water upwelling that is naturally low in dissolved oxygen; therefore, not considered in the final assessment.
			Fluoride mg/L	8.4 (FBC)	6.8-18.0	7 of 7		Naturally high fluoride levels in ground water. Exceedances not included in final assessment.
	ADEQ Lakes Program UGROP - B 100975	1997 - 4 suites 2000 - 3 suites	Fluoride mg/L	8.4 (FBC)	15.0-16.0	2 of 2		Missing core parameters: <i>Escherichia coli</i>
	ADEQ Lakes Program UGROP - Pond 100976	2000 - 2 suites	OK					
	ADEQ Lakes Program UGROP - Canal 100978	2000 - 2 suites	Fluoride mg/L	8.4 (FBC)	15.0-16.0	2 of 2		
Summary Row		1997-2000					Attaining	ADEQ collected samples at up to 4 sites during 7 sampling events in 1997-2000. Lake assessed as "attaining some uses" due to missing core parameters.
A&Ww Attaining FC Attaining FBC Inconclusive		18 samples 7 sampling events Missing core parameters						

Information for interpreting these Monitoring Tables

- "Segment" designates the beginning and end points of the reach.
- "Waterbody ID" is derived from combining the following: AZ (for streams) or AZL (for lakes) + a US Geological Survey Hydrologic Unit Code + EPA stream reach number or ADEQ lake number.
- "Designated Uses," "Agency," and "Units" (of measurement) abbreviations are defined in Appendix A.
- "Site Code" is an ADEQ derived abbreviation for the surface water basin, stream name or lake name, and the location of the site. For streams, the numbers are the miles upstream from mouth (normally measured as a straight line vector).
- "ADEQ Database ID" -- This is ADEQ's water quality database reference number. If the data is not in this database, no number will be shown.
- "Samples" -- The year and number of water samples is shown. The federal "water year" is used, from October 1st through September 30th, rather than the calendar year. Types of samples:
 - "Suite" indicates that a broad range of chemical constituents were collected and field measurements were taken (normally inorganics, metals, nutrients, and bacteria.) The chemical constituents monitored are not consistent among the many monitoring entities that provided the data. If the suite did not include the core parameters needed to assess a designated use as "attaining," the missing core parameters are indicated.
 - "Field" indicates that only field measurements such as dissolved oxygen, pH, turbidity, and water temperature were collected.
 - If a specific parameter or parametric group (e.g., zinc, metals, bacteria) is named, monitoring was limited to only these parameters
- "Standards Exceeded at this Site per Sampling Event."
 - Although many parameters may be analyzed, only those exceeding a standard are shown. Other parameters were collected.
 - "OK" indicates that no standards were exceeded.
 - The specific standards are shown as a single parameter may have multiple standards depending on the designated uses assigned. (See standards in Appendix C.)
 - "The Range of Results" indicates the minimum and maximum sample results. If the laboratory reported result is "less than the detection limit" or "not detected," a less than (<) value will be shown along with the detection limit (e.g., <0.5 mg/L).
 - A mean, geometric mean, or median will be shown along with the range of results if applicable to the standard or assessment criteria.
- "Comments" include other information used in interpreting the data for assessments, such as evidence that exceedance is solely due to natural conditions, or that the data does not meet the new "credible" data requirements.
- In the "Summary Row" parameter exceedances are combined from multiple sites, and the assessment of each designated use is shown. The overall assessment for the surface water is described in the "Comments" field: "Attaining," "Not attaining," "Impaired," or "Inconclusive." See assessment criteria in Chapter III of Volume I.

Ground Water Assessments in the Upper Gila Watershed

Major Ground Water Stressors -- Monitoring data collected from wells in this watershed between October 1995-October 2000 are summarized in **Table 29** and illustrated in **Figures 54, 55, and 56**.

Of the 50 wells monitored, nine exceeded fluoride standards, 7 exceeded standards for metals, and 5 exceeded nitrate standards. The location of the wells monitored and the wells exceeding standards is illustrated in **Figure 54**. Exceedances occurred across the watershed, rather than in an isolated pocket, except that wells in the southern section (around San Simon, Arizona) did not exceed metal standards.

TDS Concentrations -- Water quality can be characterized based on concentration of Total Dissolved Solids (TDS). High levels of salinity limits the practical uses of ground water in some areas of this watershed as TDS over 500 mg/L has an off-flavor, and TDS over 1000 mg/L will limit its use for some crops. As illustrated in **Figure 55**, the elevated TDS is scattered across the watershed, with exceptionally high concentration at one well in the San Simon area.

Watershed Protection Fund projects have been used to cap off one high saline well and investigate impacts of other wells (see discussion of these projects in the last section of this watershed).

No TDS water quality standards apply in this watershed and the elevated levels of TDS do not present a human-health concern for drinking water. The TDS concentration is only used to generally characterize water quality.

Nitrate Concentrations -- Water quality can also be characterized by looking at the concentration of nitrates in ground water. Natural occurring nitrate concentrations in ground water are generally below 3 mg/L. Concentrations above 5 mg/L indicate potential anthropogenic sources of nitrates. A total of eleven wells of the fifty wells sampled, exceeded this level. As illustrated in **Figure 56**, elevated nitrates occur in the San Simon area and downstream from Safford, both areas have significant irrigated crop production, which may be one source of the elevated nitrates.

When nitrate concentrations exceed 10 mg/L, Arizona's Aquifer Water Quality Standard has been exceeded. This standard was set to protect human health, as

water with nitrate greater than 10 mg/L may present a health problem for infants and should not be consumed by nursing mothers. Five of the eleven elevated nitrate samples exceeded 10 mg/L. As many of the wells sampled are irrigation wells (not used for drinking water), nitrates over 10 mg/L may not represent a human-health concern. However, efforts should be made to minimize further ground water contamination by nitrate.

Table 29. Upper Gila Watershed Ground Water Monitoring 1996 - 2000

MONITORING DATA TYPE	PARAMETER OR PARAMETER GROUP	NUMBER OF WELLS			PERCENT OF WELLS EXCEEDING STANDARDS
		SAMPLED	SYNTHETIC CONSTITUENT DETECTED*	EXCEEDING STANDARDS	
INDEX WELLS	Radiochemicals	0		-	-
	Fluoride	1		0	0%
	Metals/Metalloids	1		0	0%
	Nitrate	1		0	0%
	VOCs + SVOCs*	0	-	-	-
	Pesticides	0	-	-	-
TARGETED MONITORING WELLS	Radiochemicals	5		0	0%
	Fluoride	47		9	19%
	Metals/metalloids	47		7	15%
	Nitrate	49		5	10%
	VOCs + SVOCs*	7	0	0	0%
	Pesticides	7	0	0	0%

WELL CLASSIFICATION BY TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS (TDS) CONCENTRATION				
Total Number of Wells (all targeted wells)	Wells <500 mg/L Acceptable drinking water flavor	Wells 500-999 mg/L Fresh (not saline) Some crop production problems	Wells 1000-3000 mg/L Slightly saline Increasing crop production problems	Wells >3000 mg/L Moderately saline to briny Severe crop production problems
34	13	7	13	1

WELL CLASSIFICATION BY NITRATE CONCENTRATION (measured as Nitrogen)			
Total Number of Wells (only 1 index well)	Wells <5 mg/L	Wells 5-10 mg/L May be an anthropogenic source of Nitrates	>10 mg/L Exceeds standards Should not be used for drinking water by babies or nursing mothers
50	39	6	5

*VOCs = volatile organic compounds; SVOCs = semi-volatile organic compounds.

*The detection of a synthetic constituent (pesticides, VOCs, and SVOCs) is noted because some do not have standards and these substances are not naturally occurring in the ground water.




Figure 54. Ground Water Monitoring in the Upper Gila Watershed – 1996-2000




Figure 55. Ground Water Quality by TDS Concentrations in the Upper Gila Watershed




Figure 56. Ground Water Quality by Nitrate Concentrations in the Upper Gila Watershed

Watershed Studies and Alternative Solutions in the Upper Gila Watershed

This section highlights surface and ground water studies, mitigation projects, and remediation activities which have been conducted to improve water quality in the Upper Gila Watershed. Watershed partnerships active in this watershed are also mentioned.

Surface Water Studies and Mitigation Projects

Total Maximum Daily Load Analyses – The following TMDL analyses have been completed or are ongoing in this watershed. Further information about the status of these investigations can be obtained by contacting the TMDL Program manager at (602) 771-4468, or at ADEQ's web site:

<http://www.adeq.state.az.us/enviro/water/assess/tmdl.html>

- Luna Lake TMDL -- A TMDL for pH and excessive nutrients was completed and approved by EPA in 2000. Historic high external inputs of nutrients (nitrogen and phosphorus) to the lake, along with current in-lake nutrient cycling and many sunny days have resulted in a highly productive (eutrophic) system that has repeatedly failed to meet surface water quality standards.

The TMDL investigation indicated that the following nonpoint sources contribute nutrients that lead to the impairment: septic systems, forest runoff, agricultural runoff, residential and commercial runoff, decomposition of aquatic plants (i.e., in-lake nutrient cycling), and ground water. To meet standards, the TMDL concluded that the following reductions from historic levels will need to be made:

46% less nitrogen -- down to 69.4 pounds per day,
67% less phosphorus -- down to 19 pounds per day, and
37% less chlorophyll *a* (a measure of algal production).

The TMDL recommended the following reductions for the following nonpoint source categories :

	Nitrogen	Phosphorus
septic systems	50%	50%
residential	50%	50%
livestock	25%	25%

elk	25%	25%
macrophyte decomposition	60%	60%

The TMDL identified the following implementation options to meet these reductions:

- Determine the number of remaining septic systems that are in use and the extent to which unused systems are continuing to leach nutrients to Luna Lake. If there are a large number of active improperly functioning systems, the community could consider extending sewer lines.
- Implement voluntary grazing Best Management Practices that could reduce runoff and loading for pastures to reduce loading from domestic and elk herds.
- Implement voluntary Best Management Practices that reduce runoff from residential areas. This runoff is generally caused by impervious surfaces and soil amendments (e.g., fertilizers for lawns).
- Use dredging to remove the top meter of sediments that have accumulated most of the nutrients, and thereby, reduce nutrient recycling (Baker and Farnworth, 1995).
- Maintain a macrophyte harvesting schedule and/or biological controls of the macrophytes, as macrophytes will re-colonize Luna Lake within a short period of time after dredging has been completed.
- Increasing irrigation system efficiency to reduce irrigation water withdrawals, and thereby, provide higher quality lake water.

The goal of this TMDL is to incrementally improve water quality. ADEQ will work with the local community and cooperating agencies to develop a monitoring program for Luna Lake to assess whether the management actions are being met.

Water Quality Improvement Grants – ADEQ awarded the following Water Quality Improvement Grants in this watershed:

- Apache County Luna Lake Improvement Project -- Apache County will dredge accumulated sediment from Luna Lake to increase dissolved oxygen levels, reduce quantities of nutrient-rich sediments on the lake's bottom, lower average pH, and reduce total phosphorous. In addition, the county will establish water quality monitoring points along the San Francisco River to help identify locations of faulty septic systems and provide financial assistance to repair or replace faulty septic systems. For more information contact Cathy Cosgrove at (928) 333-2680 or heroconsulting@hotmail.com.
- Road Rehabilitation to Reduce Sediment in the San Simon Watershed – The Coronado Resource Conservation and Development District plans to rehabilitate 14 miles of unimproved roads within this sub-watershed using structures at strategic locations to decrease sediment loading to the San Simon. In addition, they are to increase public awareness of erosion and sediment control and how they relate to water quality within this watershed. For further information, contact Pete Brawley of the Upper Gila Partnership at (520) 428-2607.

Water Protection Fund Projects – The following projects received Water Protection Funds from the Arizona Department of Water Resources:

- Fluvial Geomorphology Study and Demonstration Project to Enhance and Restore Riparian Habitat on the Gila River from the New Mexico Border to the San Carlos Nation -- Several streams in this watershed are impaired due to excessive turbidity; therefore, significant resources are being invested to understanding the natural and anthropogenic fluvial geomorphic conditions and attributes that have lead to these exceedances. Gila County and the Upper Gila Partnership have initiated a landmark study of 100 miles of the Gila River from New Mexico border to the San Carlos Indian Nation border. This study will form the basis for the development of demonstration projects which will be implemented at optimum sites along the river to restore riparian vegetation, reduce flood velocity, and create a more stable channel. This project is being funded by the Arizona Watershed Protection Fund and the Bureau of Reclamation. The project is scheduled for completion in 2002.

- Gila Box Riparian and Water Quality Improvement Project – The Bureau of Land Management improved riparian habitat and water quality within the Gila Box Riparian National Conservation Area by moving livestock grazing from the river to adjacent upland areas. Approximately six miles of fencing were constructed and water lines, stock tanks, and water pumps were installed to provide water to the upland area. This project was completed in 1999.
- Eagle Creek Watershed and Riparian Stabilization Project – A private land owner received funds to improve the watershed, upland range and riparian community of Eagle Creek through the installation of fencing, grazing management, and the expansion of existing pipeline to distribute water sources throughout the upland areas. This project was completed in 1999.
- Creation of a Reference Riparian Area in the Gila Valley – Mt. Graham International Science and Culture Foundation created a highly visible riparian system along a tributary to the Gila River. The project was awarded Arizona Watershed Protection Funds in 2000 to provide outreach and education on the benefits of establishing and maintaining riparian areas and techniques used by land management areas.
- Blue Box Crossing – Greenlee County was funded to construct a hardened (concrete and riprap) crossing on the Blue river. The project site lies within a steep canyon of the Blue River, which is characterized by high intensity flows (estimated at 11 CFS normal flow and 17,000 CFS during extreme flood flows). The existing gravel crossing washes out in high flows increasing the sediment downstream. The area is habitat for the loach minnow, a species federally listed as Threatened with the potential to be listed as Endangered.

Gila River Resource Inventory – The Bureau of Land Management, the Gila Valley Natural Resource Conservation District, and the Upper Gila Partnership pooled resources in 1999 to develop a natural resources inventory and further studies to assist in developing Best Management Practices or other methods to improve watershed conditions and reduce nonpoint source pollution .

San Simon Wash Suspended Sediment Monitoring Project – For a 13 year period beginning in 1983, the Bureau of Land Management conducted a monitoring

project to determine the effectiveness of range management projects and practices within San Simon Wash drainage area. The parameters examined included: precipitation, storm water flow, movement of suspended sediment, free salt ions in solution (electrical conductivity). Range management practices included: a reduction of cattle numbers, fencing of riparian areas, construction of rock-masonry dams, installation of watering areas to disperse livestock and wildlife range use, concrete river fords, grass seeding, and other erosion control structures.

BLM concluded that the stream channel, and possibly some of the watershed, is slowly recovering from over 100 years of abuse. A decline in storm flow and sediment yield, were viewed as an indication that the construction of erosion control structures and implementation of a number of range management practices are effective.

Ground Water Studies and Mitigation Projects

Water Protection Fund Projects – Water Protection Funds were also used to fund the following ground water quality projects in this watershed:

- Abandonment of an Artesian Geothermal Wells – In 1999, Smithville Canal Company received funds to properly cap a deep, abandoned, artesian geothermal well near the Gila river, north of Thatcher Arizona. Discharge from the well was highly saline and was degrading soils and plants in the vicinity, and possibly, degrading downstream water quality in the Gila River. The grantee is now monitoring the site to evaluate changes due to well abandonment.
- Stable Isotope Tracers of Water Quality Constituents in the Upper Gila River – Decades of water quality monitoring have documented concentrations of total dissolved solids (TDS) in the Gila River and ground water, but the precise sources (both natural and anthropogenic) of the TDS are not known. In this project, the Arizona Geological Survey was to identify the sources and conveyance points of dissolved solids entering the upper Gila River through the use of naturally-occurring stable isotopes. The study area encompasses approximately 200 square miles in southeastern Arizona. Based on the results of the study, Arizona Geological Survey is to develop recommendations for mitigation of excessive TDS concentrations and further studies in the region. This project was completed in 1999.

- Tritium as a Tracer of Ground Water Sources and Movement in the Upper Gila Drainage – The Arizona Geological Survey also evaluated the use of tritium (a radioactive isotope) to distinguish between sources of ground water influencing the composition (and salinity) of the Gila River. Tritium can be used to determine the age of ground water. This study will assess the utility of using tritium to determine the degree of mixing between deep ground water in contact with highly soluble salts in the basin-fill sediments, and shallow ground water, which is a mixture of subflow from tributaries, infiltration of Gila River water and possible infiltration of irrigation water. This project was completed in 2000.

Federal and State Superfund Cleanup Sites – One Superfund site is located in this watershed.

- The Safford Military Range Superfund Site -- This 400 acre site is administered by the Bureau of Land Management has been used by the Arizona Army National Guard (the Guard) since 1927 for earth moving equipment training and bivouac activities. The Guard also operated a rifle range here from 1958 to the late 1970's. Recently, the Guard investigated the extent of soil contamination resulting from the numerous lead fragments located throughout the target areas. Lead contamination was shown to be present but confined within the upper six inches of soil. The Guard will to remove all the lead fragments and perform additional sampling to determine if further soil remediation is necessary.

Watershed Partnerships

The Upper Gila Partnership – The Upper Gila Partnership (previously known as the Safford-San Carlos-Duncan Partnership) was established in 1993 to develop and implement nonpoint source management strategies and projects in the Upper Gila River Watershed. This citizen and agency based group has been instrumental in addressing water quality issues throughout the watershed, and has initiated many efforts to reduce nonpoint source pollution and educate citizens in the watershed on water quality concerns. Since its institution, its members have sought funding and implemented several important water quality improvement projects, including many of those describe above.

Currently, this watershed group is working to rehabilitate 14 miles of unimproved roads within the watershed using structures at strategic locations to decrease sediment entering the San Simon River. They are also administering the Gila River fluvial geomorphology study, and they have recently capped two saline artesian wells that negatively impacted water quality.

In 2000, the Upper Gila Partnership hosted a statewide video television conference concerning ADEQ's then new TMDL program.

For information about meetings, please contact Pete Brawley, Chairman, at (520) 428-2607.

Gila Watershed Forum (formerly the Gila Monster) – The Gila Monster interstate watershed group was formed to coordinate water quality improvement efforts in the upper Gila River drainage area in Arizona and New Mexico. It was formed by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality in the early 1990's with a primary concern of nonpoint source pollution of water and a secondary concern for natural resources in general. The primary membership consisted of citizens from both states, conservation districts, and county, city, and town governments. They were supported by federal and state agencies concerned with natural resources in both states. Under their leadership, smaller member watersheds in New Mexico and in Arizona developed and implemented many useful projects to protect and enhance natural resources.

In 1998, political differences between factions in the two states began to render the Gila Monster ineffective; however, the four smaller watershed groups (three in New Mexico and one in Arizona) continued to meet on their own and to do important work. In 1999, a group of people began to meet in Silver City, New Mexico under the auspices of EPA Region VI (that oversees New Mexico but not Arizona) and the New Mexico Environmental Department. Using a hired negotiator, this group rewrote the goals and objectives of the former Gila Monster watershed group, changed the organization's bylaws and formed a new group called the Gila Watershed Forum.

Unfortunately, this conversion was done without consulting Arizona's watershed groups. By late 2000, the Gila Watershed Forum had invited the Upper Gila Partnership to attend their meetings and become a part of their activities. As of this writing in 2001, the Arizona watershed work group must still decide whether to accept the unilateral changes to the organization to encourage future

opportunities for collaboration with New Mexico.

Verde Watershed



VERDE WATERSHED CHARACTERISTICS

SIZE	6,624 square miles (6% of the state's land area).					
POPULATION BASE	Approximately 153,000 people live in this watershed (estimated from the 2000 census). This is about 3% of the state's population.					
LAND OWNERSHIP (Figure 57)	U.S. Forest Service	64%	State Land Dept.	10%	Other state and federal	1%
	Private	23%	Tribal land	2%		
LAND USES AND PERMITS (Figure 58)	This watershed includes Payson, the Sedona-Cottonwood-Verde Valley area, the majority of Prescott and the southern outskirts of Flagstaff. Primary land uses are grazing, irrigated agriculture, recreation, with some mining and silviculture.					
HYDROLOGY AND GEOLOGY	<p>This watershed is defined by the Verde River drainage area. The Verde River and many of its tributaries are perennial (Brown et al., 1978). Flows from the Verde River are regulated at two reservoirs -- Horseshoe Lake and Bartlett Lake. Flow above Horseshoe Reservoir on the Verde River varies from 48 cfs (1956) to 145,000 cfs (1993), and the annual mean flow since 1946 has been 599 cfs (USGS, 1996).</p> <p>The Mogollon Rim escarpment forms a topographic relief of as much as 2,000 feet and trends northwest across the watershed, dividing the watershed between two Hydrologic Provinces: Central Highlands (southern half), Plateau Uplands (northern half). Elevation ranges from more than 12,000 feet in the San Francisco Mountains to about 1,600 feet in the south.</p> <p>This watershed includes two ground water basins and portions of two active management areas: Verde River, Peach Springs, the northeast half of the Prescott AMA, and a small portion of the Phoenix AMA. Principal aquifers occur in three areas: basin-fill sediment and alluvium (i.e., sands, gravels, clays, conglomerate) interbedded with basalt flows; a shallow alluvial aquifer within the flood plain of the Verde River; and a sequence of limestones and sandstones, typical of the Verde Valley area (ADWR 1994).</p>					
UNIQUE WATERS	Oak Creek and West Fork of Oak Creek					
ECOREGIONS	Arizona-New Mexico Mountains, except the southern tip that is in the Southern Basin and Range.					
OTHER STATES, NATIONS, OR TRIBES	Camp Verde, Tonto Apache, Yavapai-Prescott, and Fort McDowell tribes are stakeholders in this watershed.					




Figure 57. Land Ownership in the Verde Watershed




Figure 58. General Land Use and NPDES Permits in the Verde Watershed

Verde Watershed Assessment Discussion

Statistical Summary of Surface Water Assessments

Assessments – For the 2002 assessment, 493 stream miles and 4,674 lake acres were assessed. This assessment reflects data collected in 1999 when this was the focus watershed for monitoring.

Water quality assessment information for the Verde Watershed is summarized in the following tables and illustrated in Figure 59.

Table 30. Assessments in the Verde Watershed – 2002

	STREAMS		LAKES	
	miles	number of segments	acres	number of lakes
ATTAINING	234	14	2,459	5
INCONCLUSIVE	224	18	1995	2
IMPAIRED	34	2	0	0
NOT ATTAINING	1	1	220	2
TOTAL ASSESSED	493	35	4,674	9

PERENNIAL SURFACE WATERS ASSESSED		STREAMS		LAKES	
		miles	number of segments	acres	number of lakes
	Assessed	401	27	4,674	9

* Note that streams with significant perennial stretches within the reach assessed were included in the perennial mileage although part of the reach may have ephemeral or intermittent flow.

Inconclusive Assessments – Surface waters with some monitoring data, but insufficient data to determine if a designated use is attaining or impaired, were added to the new Planning List. During the next watershed monitoring cycle (scheduled in 2004), ADEQ expects to monitor most of these reaches and lakes so that all designated uses can be assessed during the following assessment cycle. Other lakes and streams which lack monitoring data will also be

monitored depending on resources and priorities.

ADEQ will be working with US Geological Survey and the Arizona Game and Fish Department, so that their future monitoring efforts will better support Arizona's surface water assessments.

Major Stressors – When a surface water is listed as impaired or not attaining a designated use, the pollutants or suspected pollutants causing the impairment are identified. In this watershed, two reaches were assessed as impaired due to turbidity: Beaver Creek and Oak Creek.

Nutrient TMDLs were completed and approved by EPA at two lakes, Peck's Lake and Stoneman Lake to mitigate high pH and low dissolved oxygen levels. A TMDL was also completed for Oak Creek at Slide Rock State Park due to bacterial violations and subsequent swimming area closures. These two lakes and one reach were assessed as "not attaining," and were placed on the Planning List. They will be monitoring to evaluate the effectiveness of TMDL implementation strategies.

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED -- MONITORING DATA -- 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
STREAM MONITORING DATA								
Apache Creek headwaters-Walnut Creek AZ15060201-019 A&Ww, FC, FBC, AgL	ADEQ Stream Ecosystem Monitoring Near Walnut Creek VRAPA000.1 100189	1997 - 1 suite	OK					
	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Above Hunt Tank VRAPA002.46 100715	1996 - 1 suite	OK					
	ADEQ Stream Ecosystem Monitoring Below Apache Springs VRAPA005.2 100190	1997 - 1 suite	OK					
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive AgL Inconclusive	1996-1997 3 samples 2 sampling events	OK				Inconclusive	ADEQ collected a total of 3 samples from 3 sites in 1996-1997. This intermittent stream is assessed as "inconclusive" due to insufficient sampling events.
Beaver Creek Dry Beaver Ck.-Verde River AZ15060202-002 A&Wc, FC, FBC, AgL	ADEQ Ambient Monitoring Montezuma's Castle VRBEV002.62 100706	1999 - 1 suite	OK					No bacteria samples.
	ADEQ TMDL Montezuma's Castle VRBEV002.44	1999 - 3 field, nutrients, turbidity 2000 - 2 field, nutrients, turbidity	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	2-218	2 of 6		Missing core parameters: bacteria.
	ADEQ Fixed Station Network/TMDL at Camp Verde VRBEV003.64 100496	1997 - 3 field, 1 nutrient 1998 - 4 field 1999 - 1 suite + 4 field 2000 - 2 field, nutrients	Dissolved oxygen mg/l	7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	5-10.7 (66-104%)	3 of 9		Staff documented that low dissolved oxygen was due to ground water upwelling that is naturally low in dissolved oxygen; therefore, not considered in the final assessment.
			Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	12-290	5 of 7		Missing core parameters: bacteria.
	ADEQ Ambient Monitoring Above Verde River VRBEV000.62 100722	1999 - 1 suite	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	28	1 of 1		Missing core parameters: bacteria.
	ADEQ TMDL Monitoring at Silt001	1999 - 1 field 2000 - 2 field, nutrients	Turbidity	10 (A&Wc)	2-190	1 of 3		Missing core parameters: bacteria

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED – MONITORING DATA – 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
	ADEQ TMDL Monitoring at Foam0001	2000 - 2 field, nutrients	OK					Missing core parameters: bacteria
	ADEQ TMDL Monitoring Eureka Ditch	1999 - 1 field, nutrients 2000 - 2 field, nutrients (no bacterial samples)	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	30-101	3 of 3		Missing core parameters: bacteria
	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Above Verde River VRWBV000.58 100496	1997 - 4 field + nutrients 1998 - 1 field + nutrients + 2 field	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	5.2-9.15 (70-97%)	2 of 7		Missing most core parameters.
	ADEQ TMDL Monitoring above irrigation return	1999 - 1 suite 2000 - 2 field, nutrients (no bacterial samples)	Dissolved oxygen mg/l	7 90% Saturation (A&Wc)	6.6-8.1 71-104%)	1 of 4		Missing core parameters: bacteria
			Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	1-33	1 of 4		
	Reach Summary Row A&Wc Impaired FC Attaining FBC Inconclusive AgL Attaining	1997-2000 33 samples 20 sampling events Missing core parameters	Dissolved oxygen mg/l	7 90% Saturation (A&Wc)	5-8.1 (66.6-104%)	3 of 33	Attaining	ADEQ collected a total of 26 samples at 9 sites from 1997-2000. Reach assessed as "Impaired" due to turbidity. Add to Planning List due to missing core parameters.
			Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	20-290	13 of 33	Impaired	
Bitter Creek 2.5 miles below WWTP-Verde AZ15060202-066C A&Ww, FC, PBC, AgL	ADEQ Stream Ecosystem Monitoring At confluence with Verde River VRBIT000.1 100191	1997 - 1 suite	OK					
	Reach Summary Row	1997 1 sampling event					Not assessed	Insufficient to data to assess.
Bitter Creek WWTP- 2.5 miles below WWTP AZ15060202-066B A&Wedw, PBC	ADEQ Biocriteria Program 0.5 miles below Jerome WWTP VRBIT002.64 100424	1996 -1 suite	OK					
	ADEQ Stream Ecosystem Monitoring At pet cemetery VRBIT003.1 100192	1997 - 1 suite	OK					

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED -- MONITORING DATA -- 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
	ADEQ Stream Ecosystem Monitoring Below Jerome WWTP VRBIT002.72 100193	1997 - 1 suite	OK					
	Reach Summary Row A&Wdw Inconclusive PBC Inconclusive	1996-1997 3 samples 2 sampling events	OK				Inconclusive	ADEQ collected a total of 3 samples at 3 sites. Reach assessed as "Inconclusive" due to insufficient sampling events.
(Unnamed trib.) to Bitter Creek headwaters-Bitter Creek AZ15060202-868 A&Ww, FC, PBC, AgL	ADEQ Ambient and Biocriteria Unnamed tributary off of Bitter Creek VRUBT000.3 100221	1997 - 1 suite	OK					
	Reach Summary Row	1997 1 sampling event	OK				Not assessed	Insufficient to data to assess.
Black Canyon Creek AZ15060202-886 A&Ww, FC, FBC, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Below Gaddes Canyon VRBLA006.03 100418	1996 - 1 suite	OK					Insufficient to data to assess
	Reach Summary Row	1996 1 sampling event	OK				Not assessed	Insufficient to data to assess.
Camp Creek headwaters-Verde River AZ15060203-031 A&Ww, FC, FBC, DWS, Agl, Agl	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Above Blue Wash confluence VRCMP009.30 100760	1996 - 1 suite	OK					
	Reach Summary Row	1996 1 sampling events	OK				Not assessed	Insufficient to data to assess.
East Verde River headwaters-American Gulch AZ15060203-022A A&Wc, FC, FBC, DWS, Agl, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Above Brushy Canyon VREVR011.19 100549	1996 - 1 suite	OK					
	ADEQ Fixed Station Network below Highway 87 bridge VREVR012.28 100474	1999 - 5 suites 2000 - 4 suites	Beryllium, (total) µg/L	0.21 (FC)	0.55 - 2.8	2 of 2		7 other beryllium samples did not have a low enough Laboratory Reporting Limit.
			Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7 (A&Wc)	6.5-11.0	1 of 9		

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED -- MONITORING DATA -- 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
			Nitrogen (total) mg/L	3 (A&Wc)	0.07- 4.5	1 of 9		
			Phosphorus (total) mg/L	1 (A&Wc)	0.2-1.0	1 of 9		
			Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	3.07 - 1,000	5 of 9		
	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Below Ellison Creek VREVR015.85 100548	1996 - 1 suite	OK					
	ADEQ Fixed Station Monitoring Above Second Crossing VREVR015.97 100786	1999 - 2 suites	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	23 - 53.6	2 of 2		
	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Below Washington Park VREVR018.56 100546	1996 - 1 suite	OK					
	Reach Summary Row	1998-2000	Beryllium (total) µg/L	0.21 (FC)	0.55 - 2.6	2 of 2	Attaining	ADEQ collected a total of 14 samples at 5 sites in 1998-2000. Reach assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to Planning List due to turbidity exceedances.
	A&Wc Inconclusive	14 samples 12 sampling events	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7 (A&Wc)	6.5-11.0	1 of 13	Attaining	
	FC Attaining		Nitrogen (total) mg/l	3 (A&Wc)	0.07- 4.5	1 of 11	Attaining	
	FBC Attaining		Phosphorus	1 (A&Wc)	0.2-1.0	1 of 11	Attaining	
	DWS Attaining		Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	3.07 - 1,000	7 of 14	Inconclusive	
East Verde River American Gulch-Verde River AZ15060203-022B A&Wc, FC, FBC, DWS, Agl, Agl	USGS Station #09507980 Near Childs VREVR001.42 100739	1996 - 6 suites 1997 - 6 suites 1998 - 5 suites 1999 - 6 suites 2000 - 4 suites	Antimony (total) µg/L	6 (DWS)	1.0-49	4 of 26		Naturally high levels of antimony and arsenic in the ground water seeping into surface water when flow is below 5 cfs. Because exceedances are solely naturally occurring, they are not included in the final assessment.
			Arsenic (total) µg/L	50 (DWS)	4.0-170.0	5 of 26		
			Dissolved oxygen mg/L	>7 (A&Wc)	5.8-7.76	1 of 12		Staff documented that low dissolved oxygen was due to ground water upwelling that is naturally low in dissolved oxygen; therefore, not considered in the final assessment.
			Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	0.2-35	2 of 27		

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED – MONITORING DATA – 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Below Pine Creek VREVR008.23 100550	1996 - 1 suite	OK					
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Attaining FC Attaining FBC Attaining DWS Attaining AgI Attaining AgL Attaining	1996-2000 28 sampling events	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	0.2-35	2 of 27	Attaining	USGS collected 27 samples and ADEQ collected 1 sample in 1996-2000. See comment above concerning antimony and arsenic exceedances. Reach assessed as "attaining all uses."
Ellison Creek headwaters-East Verde River AZ15060203-459 A&Wc, FC, FBC, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Above East Verde River VRELL000.12 100543	1996 - 1 suite	OK					Missing core parameters: bacteria
	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Headwaters VRELL004.47 100542	1996 - 1 suite	OK					Missing core parameters: bacteria
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive AgL Inconclusive	1996 2 samples 1 sampling event Missing core parameters	OK				Inconclusive	ADEQ collected a total of 2 samples at 3 sites in 1996-1997. Assessed as "Inconclusive" and added to the Planning list due to lack of sampling events and missing bacteria
Fossil Creek headwaters-Verde River AZ15060203-024 A&Ww, FC, FBC, AgL	ADEQ Fixed Station Network Above Salley Mae Wash VRFOS005.67 100785	1999 - 2 suites	OK					
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive AgL Inconclusive	1999 2 sampling events	OK				Inconclusive	ADEQ collected 2 samples in 1999. Reach assessed as "Inconclusive" and added to the Planning List due to lack of sampling events.

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED -- MONITORING DATA -- 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
Granite Creek headwaters-15060202-060 AZ15060202-059 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	USGS #09502960 VRGRA004.68	1996 - 1 suite 1999 - 2 suites 2000 - 2 suites	Escherichia coli CFU/100 ml	580 (FBC)	71-8000	1 of 3		Missing core parameters: turbidity, nutrients, many metals.
	ADEQ Fixed Station Network At Sundog Ranch Road VRGRA003.88 100489	1996 - 2 suites	Beryllium (total) µg/L	0.21 (FC)	0.6	1 of 1		One other beryllium sample did not have a low enough Laboratory Reporting limit.
			Escherichia coli CFU/100 ml	580 (FBC)	220-1266	1 of 2		
			Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	7.44-273	1 of 2		
	Reach Summary Row	1996-2000	Beryllium (total) µg/L	0.21 (FC)	0.6	1 of 1	Inconclusive	ADEQ collected a total of 7 samples at 2 sites in 1996-2000. Reach assessed as "Inconclusive" and added to the Planning List due to Escherichia coli, beryllium, and turbidity exceedances and missing core parameters.
	A&Ww Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive Agl Inconclusive Agl Inconclusive	7 sampling events	Escherichia coli CFU/100 ml	580 (FBC)	220-1266	2 of 6 (exceedances occurred 5 years apart)	Inconclusive	
		Missing core parameters	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	7.44-273	1 of 2	Inconclusive	
Houston Creek headwater-Verde River AZ15060203-041 A&Ww, FC, FBC, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Above Forest Road #6 VRHOU002.75 100761	1996 - 1 suite	OK					No bacterial samples
	Reach Summary Row	1996 1 sampling event	OK				Not assessed	Insufficient data to assess.
Lime Creek headwaters-Horseshoe Res AZ15060203-030 A&Ww, FC, FBC, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria Program 1 mile above Verde VRLIM000.71 100585	1996 - 1 suite	OK					No bacterial or nutrient samples
	Reach Summary Row	1996 1 sampling event	OK				Not assessed	Insufficient data to assess.
Munds Creek headwaters-Oak Creek AZ15060202-415 A&Ww, FC, FBC, DWS, Agl, Agl	ADEQ TMDL Program Above Oak Creek VRMUN000.1	1998 - 3 suites	OK					Missing core parameters: metals, boron. All samples in March, April, and May.
	ADEQ TMDL Program Below Pinewood WWTP VRMUN003.4	1998 - 3 suites	OK					

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED – MONITORING DATA – 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
	ADEQ TMDL Program West tributary of Munds Creek Above Pinewood WWTP VRMUN003.5	1997 - 1 suite 1998 - 3 suites	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	4-67	1 of 2		
	ADEQ TMDL Program Southeast trib to O'Dell Lake VRMUN004.1	1998 - 2 suites	OK					
	ADEQ TMDL Program Above O'Dell Lake VRMUN004.3	1998 - 3 suites	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	5-69	1 of 2		
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive DWS Inconclusive AgL Inconclusive	1997-1998 15 samples 4 sampling events Missing core parameters and seasonal representation	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	4-69	2 of 12	Attaining	ADEQ collected a total of 15 samples at 5 sites in 1997-1998. Reach is assessed as "Inconclusive" and added to the Planning List due to lack of core parameters and seasonal representation.
Oak Creek headwaters-West Fork Oak Cr. AZ15060202-019 A&Wc, FC, FBC, DWS, Agl, AgL Unique Waters	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Below Cave Springs VROAK023.21 100608	1996 - 1 suite 1998 - 1 suite	OK					No bacteria, beryllium, boron, or mercury. Only 1 cadmium, chromium, and lead, zinc, or fluoride. No mining in the drainage area; therefore, metal samples not required.
	ADEQ TMDL Program Below Pumphouse Wash VROAK025.2	1998 - 3 field + nutrients, bacteria	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	1-20	1 of 3		
	ADEQ TMDL Program Above Pumphouse Wash VROAK025.3	1998 - 3 field, nutrients, bacteria	OK					
	AGFD Above Sterling Springs Hatchery	1996 - 1 field, nutrients, bacteria	OK					
	AGFD Below Sterling Springs Hatchery	1996 - 1 field, nutrients, bacteria	OK					
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Attaining FBC Attaining DWS Inconclusive Agl Inconclusive AgL Attaining	1996-1998 9 samples 5 sampling events Missing core parameters	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	1-20	1 of 9	Inconclusive	ADEQ and AGFD collected a total of 9 samples at 5 sites in 1996-1998. Reach assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to the Planning List due to turbidity exceedance and missing core parameters (beryllium and boron).

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED – MONITORING DATA – 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					COMMENTS
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	
Oak Creek West Fork Oak Cr.-Dry Creek (except Slide Rock State Park) AZ15060202-018B A&Wc, FC, FBC, DWS, Agl, Agl Unique Water	ADEQ Fixed Station Network At Redrock Crossing VROAK009.33 100492	1996 - 5 suites 1997 - 4 suites 1998 - 4 suites 1999 - 5 suites 2000 - 4 suites	Beryllium (total) µg/L	4.0 (DWS, FBC)	4.1	1 of 20		Nineteen other beryllium samples did not have a low enough Laboratory Reporting Limit.
			Beryllium (total) µg/L	0.21 (FC)	4.1	1 of 1		
			Total Nitrogen mg/L	2.5 Unique Waters	0.08-5.0	1 of 21		
			Total Phosphorus mg/L	0.3 Unique Waters	< 0.1 - 1.5	1 of 21		
			Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	1-1000	3 of 22		
	ADEQ Biocriteria Program At Red Rock State Park VROAK010.29 100612	1996 - 1 suite 1999 - 1 suite	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	6-15	1 of 2		
	ADEQ TMDL Program Below Redrock Crossing VROAK011.4	1998 - 3 field + nutrients	OK					
	ADEQ Ambient and Biocriteria At Chavez Crossing VROAK013.11 100461	1998 - 1 suite 1998 - 3 suites	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	6-26	1 of 3		
	ADEQ Ambient and Biocriteria At Highway 179 bridge VROAK014.54 100460	1998 - 3 suites	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	6-18	1 of 3		
	ADEQ Fixed Station Network below Grasshopper Point VROAK016.57 100459	1996 - 1 suite 1998 - 3 suites	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	2-21	1 of 4		
	ADEQ TMDL Program Below Munds Creek VROAK018.1	1998 - 3 suites	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	1-30	1 of 3		
	ADEQ TMDL Program Above Munds Creek VROAK018.3	1998 - 3 suites	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	1-22	1 of 3		

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED -- MONITORING DATA -- 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
	Reach Summary Row	1996 - 2000	Beryllium (total) µg/L	4.0 (DWS, FBC)	< 0.5 - 4.1	1 of 27	Attaining	ADEQ collected a total of 44 samples from 8 sites in 1996-2000. The reach is assessed as "Impaired" due to turbidity. (Note change in designated use in new rule package submitted to EPA would bring this reach into compliance with turbidity standard.)
	A&Wc Impaired	44 samples	Beryllium (total) µg/L	0.21 (FC)	4.1	1 of 1	Attaining	
	FC Attaining	25 sampling events	Total Nitrogen mg/L	2.5 Unique Waters	0.08-5.0	1 of 43	Attaining	
	FBC Attaining		Total Phosphorus mg/L	0.3 Unique Waters	< 0.1 - 1.5	1 of 43	Attaining	
	DWS Attaining		Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	1-1000	9 of 42	Impaired	
Oak Creek At Slide Rock State Park only AZ15060202-018A A&Wc, FC, FBC, DWS, Agl, AgL Unique Water	Slide Rock State Park Routine Bacterial Monitoring Upstream	1996 - 2000 839 <i>E. coli</i> samples only	Escherichia coli CFU/100 ml	580 (FBC)	1-2491	20 of 839		No mining in the drainage area; therefore, metal samples not required to assessed designated uses. Samples collected in surrounding reach contained the core parameters (see 15060202-018B).
	Slide Rock State Park Routine Bacterial Monitoring Mid-slide	1996 - 2000 778 <i>E. coli</i> samples only	Escherichia coli CFU/100 ml	580 (FBC)	1-2491	20 of 778		
	Slide Rock State Park Routine Bacterial Monitoring Large Pool	1996 - 2000 995 <i>E. coli</i> samples only	Escherichia coli CFU/100 ml	580 (FBC)	1-2491	16 of 995		
	ADEQ/TMDL Above Slide Rock Foot Bridge VROAK020.02	1998 - 1 field (no bacteria)	OK					
	Slide Rock State Park Foot Bridge Routine Bacterial Monitoring	1996 - 2000 712 <i>E. coli</i> samples only	Escherichia coli CFU/100 ml	580 (FBC)	1-2491	21 of 712		
	Slide Rock State Park at Highway Bridge Routine Bacterial Monitoring	1996 - 2000 853 <i>E. coli</i> samples only	Escherichia coli CFU/100 ml	580 (FBC)	1-2491	22 of 853		
	EPA/ADEQ Biocriteria Program at Slide Rock State Park VROAK019.98 100609	1996 - 1 suite (no bacteria)	OK					
	ADEQ/TMDL Below Slide Rock VROAK020.0	1998 - 1 field (no bacteria)	OK					

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED -- MONITORING DATA -- 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
Oak Creek Dry Creek-Spring Creek AZ15060202-017 A&Wc, FC, FBC, DWS, Agl, AgL Unique Waters	Reach Summary Row	1996-2000	Escherichia coli CFU/100 ml	580 (FBC)	1-2491	75 of 4177 (more than 2 exceedances in a 3-year period)	Not attaining	ADEQ collected 3 samples at 3 sites in 1996-1998. Slide Rock State Park collected a total of 4177 Escherichia coli samples at 5 sites in 1996-2000. EPA approved TMDLs for pathogens, total phosphorus and total nitrogen in 1999. Reach assessed as "not attaining" due to E. coli exceedances. Add to Planning List to determine the effectiveness of TMDL implementation strategies.
	A&Wc Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Not attaining DWS Inconclusive Agl Inconclusive AgL Inconclusive	3 samples plus 4177 E. coli samples						
	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Below Page Springs VROAK005.91 100613	1996 - 1 suite (few metals) 1999 - 1 suite	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	4-15	1 of 2		
	ADEQ TMDL Program At Page Springs Bridge VROAK006.4	1998 - 1 field, nutrients and turbidity	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	45	1 of 1		
Oak Creek Spring Creek-Verde River AZ15060202-016 A&Wc, FC, FBC, DWS, Agl, AgL Unique Waters	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Above Page Springs VROAK006.49 100614	1996 - 1 suite (few metals)	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	1-25	1 of 1		
	Reach Summary Row	1996-1998	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	1-25	3 of 4	Inconclusive	ADEQ collected a total of 4 samples at 3 sites in 1996-1998. Reach assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to the Planning List due to turbidity exceedances and lack of core parameters.
	A&Wc Inconclusive FC Attaining FBC Inconclusive DWS Attaining Agl Inconclusive AgL Attaining	4 samples 3 sampling events Missing core parameters (bacteria and boron)						
	ADEQ TMDL Program Above Verde River VROAK000.1	1998 - 1 field, nutrients and turbidity	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	23	1 of 1		
Oak Creek Spring Creek-Verde River AZ15060202-016 A&Wc, FC, FBC, DWS, Agl, AgL Unique Waters	ADEQ TMDL Program Above Mormon Crossing VROAK004.9	1998 - 1 field, nutrients and turbidity	OK					
	Reach Summary Row	1998	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	23	1 of 2	Inconclusive	ADEQ collected a total of two samples at two sites in 1998. Assessed as "inconclusive" and added to the Planning List due to lack of sampling events and core parametric coverage.
	A&Wc Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive DWS Inconclusive Agl Inconclusive AgL Inconclusive	2 samples 1 sampling event						

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED -- MONITORING DATA -- 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
Pine Creek headwaters-East Verde River RiverAZ15060203-049 A&Wc, FC, FBC, DWS, Agl, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Above East Verde River VRPIE000.20 100620	1996 - 1 suite 1997 - 1 suite	OK					Missing core parameters
	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Near headquarters VRPIE013.89 100621	1996 - 1 suite 1997 - 1 suite	OK					Missing core parameters.
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive DWS Inconclusive Agl Inconclusive AgL Inconclusive	1996-1997 4 samples 2 sampling events	OK				Inconclusive	ADEQ collected a total of 4 samples at 2 sites in 1996-1997. Reach assessed as "Inconclusive" and added to the Planning List due to lack of sampling events.
Pumphouse Wash headwaters-Oak Creek AZ15060202-442 A&Ww, FC, FBC, DWS, Agl, AgL	ADEQ Fixed Station Network Below Highway 89A bridge VRPMW002.63 100460	1997 - 1 suite 1998 - 1 field	OK					
	ADEQ/TMDL Above Oak Creek VRPMW002.7	1998 - 3 nutrients, field, and bact, 4 turbidity	OK					
	ADEQ/TMDL Below Kachina Village VRPMW007.5	1998 - 3 nutrients, field, turbidity, bact	OK					
	ADEQ/TMDL Above Kachina Village VRPMW008.4	1998 - 2 nutrients, field, turbidity, bact	OK					
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Attaining FC Attaining FBC Attaining DWS Inconclusive Agl Inconclusive AgL Attaining	1997-1998 10 samples 5 sampling events Missing core parameters	OK				Inconclusive	ADEQ collected a total of 10 samples at 4 sites in 1997-1998. No mining in the drainage area; therefore, metal samples not required. Reach assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to the Planning List due to insufficient core parameters (fluoride and boron).
Red Creek headwaters-Verde River AZ15060203-618 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Above second road crossing VRRED001.97 100626	1996 - 1 suite	OK					
	Reach Summary Row	1996 1 sampling events					Not assessed	Insufficient to data to assess.

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED – MONITORING DATA – 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
Roundtree Creek headwaters-Tangle Creek AZ15060203-853 A&Ww, FC, FBC, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria Program 3 miles above Tangle Creek VRROU001.79 100631	1996 - 1 suite 1998 - 1 suite	OK					
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive AgL Inconclusive	1996 - 1998 2 sampling events	OK				Inconclusive	ADEQ collected 2 samples in 1996. Reach assessed as "Inconclusive" and added to the Planning List due to insufficient sampling events.
Spring Creek Coffee Creek-Oak Creek AZ15060202-022 A&Ww, FC, FBC, AgL, AgL	ADEQ Ambient and Biocriteria At Ryerson Ranch VRSPN001.68 100197	1997 - 1 suite	OK					Missing core parameters: bacteria
	ADEQ Ambient and Biocriteria Above Diversion Dam VRSPN000.48 100195	1997 - 1 suite	OK					
	ADEQ Ambient and Biocriteria Below Mormon Crossing VRSPN001.25 100196	1997 - 1 suite	OK					
	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Near road crossing VRSPN001.36 100650	1996 - 1 suite 1998 - 1 suite	OK					
	ADEQ Ambient and Biocriteria Below Oak Creek Bridge VRSPN000.15 100194	1997 - 1 suite	OK					
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Attaining FC Attaining FBC Inconclusive AgL Attaining AgL Attaining	1996-1998 6 samples 3 sampling events Missing core parameters	OK				Attaining	ADEQ collected a total of 6 samples at 5 sites from 1996-1998. Reach assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to the Planning List due to insufficient bacterial samples.
Sycamore Creek Tule Canyon-Cedar Creek AZ15060202-026 A&Wc, FC, FBC, AgL, AgL	ADEQ Stream Ecosystem Monitoring Near Verde River VRSYW000.56 100198	1997 - 1 suite (no bacterial samples)	OK					Missing core parameters: bacteria and boron No mining in the drainage area; therefore, metal samples not required.
	ADEQ Ambient and Biocriteria Below Summers Springs VRSYW001.4 100199	1996 - 1 field 1997 - 1 field plus metals 1998 - 1 suite (No bacterial samples)	OK					Missing core parameters: bacteria. (Turbidity, boron and nitrogen missing except in 1 sample). No mining in the drainage area; therefore, metal samples not required.

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED -- MONITORING DATA -- 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
	Reach Summary Row	1996-1998	OK				Inconclusive	ADEQ collected a total of 3 samples at 2 sites in 1996-1998; sites close together so assessed as one site. Reach assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to the Planning List due to missing core parameters.
	A&Wc Inconclusive FC Attaining FBC Inconclusive AgL Attaining AgI Inconclusive	4 sampling events Missing core parameters						
Sycamore Creek headwaters-Verde River AZ15060203-055 A&Ww, FC, FBC, AgI, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Tributary of Horseshoe Res. VRSYH000.16 100656	1996 - 1 suite 1998 - 1 suite	OK					Missing core parameters: bacteria
	Reach Summary Row	1996-1998	OK				Inconclusive	ADEQ collected 2 samples in 1996-1998. Reach assessed as "inconclusive" and added to the Planning List due to lack of sampling events.
	A&Ww Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive AgI Inconclusive AgL Inconclusive	2 sampling events						
Sycamore Creek headwaters-Verde River AZ15060203-002 A&Ww, FC, FBC, AgI, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria Program In Mazatzal Mountains VRSYM012.45 100659	1996 - 1 suite	OK					
	Reach Summary Row	1996	OK				Not assessed.	Insufficient to data to assess.
		1 sampling event						
Tangle Creek headwaters-Verde River AZ15060203-028 A&Ww, FC, FBC, AgI, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Near Tangle Peak VRTGL000.78 100666	1996 - 1 suite	OK					
	Reach Summary Row	1996	OK				Inconclusive	Insufficient to data to assess.
		1 sampling event						
Verde River Granite Creek-Hell Canyon AZ15060202-052 A&Ww, FC, FBC, AgI, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria Program East of Paulden VRVER095.73 100764	1996 - 1 suite 1998 - 1 suite	OK					
	Reach Summary Row	1996 - 1998	OK				Inconclusive	ADEQ collected a total of 2 samples in 1996-1998. Reach assessed as "inconclusive" and added to the Planning List due to insufficient sampling events.
	A&Ww Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive AgL Inconclusive AgI Inconclusive	2 sampling events						

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED -- MONITORING DATA -- 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
Verde River Hell Canyon-15060202-065 AZ15060202-038 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ Ambient and Biocriteria Above Perkinsville bridge VRVER095.54 100672	1996 - 1 field 1999 - 1 suite	OK					Missing core parameters: bacteria
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive Agl Inconclusive AgL Inconclusive	1996 - 1999 2 sampling events Missing core parameters	OK				Inconclusive	ADEQ collected a total of 2 samples in 1996 - 1999. Reach assessed as "Inconclusive" and added to the Planning List due to insufficient sampling events and lack of bacteria samples.
Verde River 15060202-065-Railroad Draw AZ15060202-037 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ Fixed Station Network Below Perkinsville Bridge VRVER095.65 100487	1996 - 3 suites + 2 field 1999 - 6 suites 2000 - 4 suites	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	6.0 (90% saturation) (A&Ww)	5.72 - 11.1 (74-122 %)	1 of 14		
			<i>Escherichia coli</i> CFU/100 ml	580 (FBC)	2 - 2,300	1 of 13		
			Fecal coliform CFU/100 ml	4,000 (A&Ww, Agl, AgL)	1 - 4,500	1 of 12		
			Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	1 - 677	4 of 15		
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Attaining FBC Attaining Agl Attaining AgL Attaining	1996-2000 15 samples 15 sampling events	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	6.0 (90% saturation) (A&Ww)	5.72-11.1 (75-122%)	1 of 15	Attaining	ADEQ collected a total of 15 samples in 1996 - 1999. Reach assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to the Planning List due to turbidity exceedances.
			<i>Escherichia coli</i> CFU/100 ml	580 (FBC)	2 - 2,300	1 of 13	Attaining	
			Fecal coliform CFU/100 ml	4,000 (A&Ww, Agl, AgL)	1 - 4,500	1 of 12	Attaining	
			Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	1 - 677	4 of 15	Inconclusive	

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED -- MONITORING DATA -- 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
Verde River Sycamore Creek-Oak Creek AZ15060202-025 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	USGS/TMDL Above Dead Horse State Park VRVER084.38	1999 - 1 suite	OK					
	ADEQ Ambient and Biocriteria At Dead Horse State Park VRVER84.38 100482	1999 - 2 suites	OK					
	USGS/TMDL Below Dead Horse State Park VRVER084.42	1999 - 1 suite	OK					
	USGS/TMDL At Tuzigoot Bridge VRVER085.49	1999 - 1 suite	OK					
	USGS/TMDL At sewage pond VRVER085.81	1999 - 1 suite	OK					
	USGS/TMDL Above sewage pond VRVER085.92 344615 112023501	1999 - 1 suite	OK					
	USGS/TMDL Below diversion dam VRVER086.62	1999 - 1 suite	OK					
	USGS/TMDL Below Tapco Substation VRVER087.70	1999 - 1 suite	OK					
	USGS Station #09504000 Near Clarkdale VRVER091.61 100738	1996 - 6 suites 1997 - 6 suites 1998 - 6 suites 1999 - 4 suites 2000 - 4 suites	OK					
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Attaining FC Attaining FBC Attaining Agl Attaining Agl Attaining	1996 - 2000 34 samples 28 sampling events	OK				Attaining	ADEQ collected a total of 33 samples at 8 sites in 1996 -2000. Reach assessed as "attaining all uses."
Verde River Oak Creek-Beaver Creek AZ15060202-015 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ TMDL Program Across from Reservation VRVER075.14 100718	1999 - 1 suite	OK					No bacterial samples.

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED -- MONITORING DATA -- 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					COMMENTS
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	
	ADEQ Biocriteria & TMDL At 1000 Trails VRVER078.76 100481	1996 - 1 suite 1999 - 1 suite	OK					No bacterial samples
	ADEQ TMDL Program Below Oak Creek VRVER078.8	1998 - 1 field, nutrient	OK					Lacking core parametric coverage
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive Agl Inconclusive AgL Inconclusive	1996 - 1999 4 samples 3 sampling events Missing core parameters at 1 event.	OK				Inconclusive	ADEQ collected a total of 4 samples at 3 sites 1996-1999. Reach assessed as "inconclusive" and added to the Planning List due to missing core parameters at one of the three sampling events.
Verde River 15060203-West Clear Creek AZ15060203-027 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Above West Clear Creek VRVER066.74 100723	1996 - 1 suite 1999 - 1 suite	OK					No bacterial samples.
	USGS #09505570 Above West Clear Creek VRVER066.64 100750	1996 - 10 suites 1997 - 5 suites 1998 - 6 suites	OK					No bacterial samples.
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Attaining FC Attaining FBC Inconclusive Agl Attaining AgL Attaining	1996 - 1999 23 sampling events Missing core parameters (bacteria)	OK				Attaining	ADEQ and USGS collected at total of 25 samples at 2 sites 1996-1999. Reach assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to the Planning List due to missing core parameters.
Verde River West Clear Creek-Fossil Creek AZ15060203-025 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ Fixed Station Program At Beasley Flat VRVER064.68 100477	1999 - 4 suites 2000 - 4 suites	Escherichia coli CFU/100 ml	580 (FBC)	<2- 1,125	1 of 8		
			Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	7-998	3 of 8		
	USGS TMDL At Beasley Flat VRVER064.68	1999 - 1 suite	Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	77	1 of 1		
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Attaining FBC Inconclusive Agl Attaining AgL Attaining	1999 - 2000 9 samples	Escherichia coli CFU/100 ml	580 (FBC)	<2- 1,125	1 of 9	Inconclusive	ADEQ and USGS collected a total of 9 samples at 2 sites 1999-2000. Reach assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to the Planning List due to E. coli and turbidity exceedances.
			Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	1-998	4 of 9	Inconclusive	

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED -- MONITORING DATA -- 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
Verde River Tangle Creek-Ister Flat AZ15060203-018 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	USGS Station #09508500 Below Tangle Creek VRVER036.48 100740	1996 - 8 suites 1997 - 15 suites 1998 - 6 suites 1999 - 6 suites 2000 - 4 suites	<i>Escherichia coli</i> CFU/100 mg/L	580 (FBC)	<1.0-770	1 of 13		
			Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	0.3-170	4 of 14		
	SRP Routine Monitoring Below Tangle Creek	2000 - 11 suites	OK					
	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Above Sheep Bridge VRVER036.65 100678	1999 - 1 suite	OK					Missing core parameters: bacteria, nutrients, nitrates, turbidity, dissolved oxygen, flow, some metals, beryllium, fluoride, barium, boron, pH.
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Attaining FBC Attaining DWS Attaining Agl Attaining AgL Attaining	1996 - 2000 51 sampling events	<i>Escherichia coli</i> CFU/100 mg/L	580 (FBC)	<1.0-770	1 of 13	Attaining	ADEQ, USGS, and SRP collected a total of 105 samples in 1996-2000 at 4 sites. Reach is assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to the Planning List due to turbidity exceedances.
			Turbidity NTU	50 (A&Ww)	0.3-170	4 of 15	Inconclusive	
Verde River Horseshoe Lake-Bartlett Lake AZ15060203-008 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ Fixed Station Monitoring Below Horseshoe Lake VEVER027.54 100831	1999 - 1 suite	OK					
	Reach Summary Row	1999 1 sampling event	OK				Not assessed	Insufficient samples to assess.
Verde River Bartlett Dam-Camp Creek AZ15060203-004 A&Ww, FC, FBC, DWS, Agl, AgL	SRP Routine Monitoring Below Bartlett Dam VRVER017.55	1996 - 12 suites 1997 - 12 suites 1998 - 7 suites 1999 - 13 suites 2000 - 12 suites	OK					Missing core parameters: bacteria, flow, fluoride, barium, beryllium, some metals, pH, dissolved oxygen, turbidity.
	USGS Station #09510000 Below Bartlett Dam 100741	1999 - 3 suites 2000 - 6 suites	OK					Missing core parameters: bacteria
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Attaining FC Attaining FBC Inconclusive DWS Attaining Agl Attaining AgL Attaining	1996 - 2000 65 sampling events Missing bacteria samples	OK				Attaining	ADEQ, USGS, and SRP collected a total of 105 samples in 1996-2000 at 4 sites. Reach is assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to the Planning List due to missing bacteria samples.

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED - MONITORING DATA - 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
Walnut Creek Apache Creek-Big Chino Wash AZ15060201-017 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Above Road 95 VRWAL011.07 100681	1996 - 1 suite	OK					Need more data to assess. Staff documented that low dissolved oxygen was due to ground water upwelling that is naturally low in dissolved oxygen; therefore, not considered in the final assessment.
	Reach Summary Row	1996 1 sampling event	OK				Not assessed	Insufficient data to assess.
Webber Creek headwaters-East Verde River AZ15060203-058 A&Wc, FC, FBC, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Below Geronimo Scout Camp VRWEB006.03 100690	1996 - 1 suite 1997 - 1 suite	OK					Need more data to assess.
	Reach Summary Row A&Wc Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive Agl Inconclusive	1996-1997 2 sampling events	OK				Inconclusive	ADEQ collected 2 samples in 1996-1997. Reach assessed as "Inconclusive" and added to the Planning List due to lack of sampling events.
West Clear Creek headwaters-Verde River AZ15060203-026 A&Wc, FC, FBC, AgL	ADEQ Stream Ecosystem Above diversion VRWCL000.94 100200	1997 - 1 suite	Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	24	1 of 1		Missing core parameters: bacterial samples.
	ADEQ Stream Ecosystem above lower campground VRWCL002.66 100201	1997 - 1 suite	OK					Missing core parameters: bacterial samples.
	ADEQ Biocriteria Program At campground VRWCL002.91 100689	1996 - 1 suite 1999 - 1 suite	OK					Missing core parameters: bacterial samples.
	ADEQ Stream Ecosystem SW of Cactus Mountain VRWCL003.19 100202	1997 - 1 suite	OK					Missing core parameters: bacterial samples.
	ADEQ Stream Ecosystem Below Bull Pen Ranch VRWCL004.93 100203	1997 - 1 suite	OK					Missing core parameters: bacterial samples.
	USGS #09505800 Near Camp Verde VRWCL005.79 100749	1996 - 8 suites 1997 - 12 suites 1998 - 16 suites 1999 - 12 suites 2000 - 6 suites						Missing core parameters: turbidity, nitrogen, most metals, bacterial samples.

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED – MONITORING DATA – 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Above Bull Pen Ranch VRWCL006.09 100204	1997 - 1 suite 1998 - 1 suite 1999 - 1 suite	OK					Missing core parameters: bacterial samples.
	ADEQ Biocriteria Program At Callaway Butte VRWCL012.50 100687	1996 - 1 suite	OK					Missing core parameters: bacterial samples.
	ADEQ Biocriteria Program At Maxwell Trail (upper) VRWCL0016.84 100205	1996 - 1 suite 1997 - 2 suites	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	5.9 - 8.1	1 of 3		Natural low dissolved oxygen due to ground water upwelling and low flow. This exceedance was not included in the final assessment. Missing core parameters: bacteria.
	Reach Summary Row A&Wc Attaining FC Attaining FBC Inconclusive AgL Attaining	1996-2000 66 samples 58 sampling events Missing core parameters	Turbidity (NTU)	10 (A&Wc)	1-24	1 of 12	Attaining	ADEQ and USGS collected a total of 66 samples at 7 site in 1996-2000. Reach assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to the Planning List due to lack of bacteria samples.
West Fork Oak Creek headwaters-Oak Creek AZ15060202-020 A&Wc, FC, FBC, AgL	ADEQ Biocriteria Program Above Fourth Trail Crossing VRWOK000.84 100693	1996 - 1 suite 1998 - 1 suite	OK					
	Reach Summary Row A&Wc Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive AgL Inconclusive	1996-1998 2 sampling events	OK				Inconclusive	ADEQ collected 2 samples in 1996-1998. Reach assessed as "Inconclusive" and added to the Planning List due to insufficient sampling events.
Wet Beaver Creek Long Canyon-Rarick AZ15060202-004 A&Wc, FC, FBC, AgL, AgL	ADEQ TMDL Program At Montezuma Well VRWBV003.18	1999 - 1 field, nutrients, turbidity 2000 - 2 field, nutrients, turbidity	OK					Missing core parameters
	ADEQ TMDL Program At camp ground VRBEV004.95	1999 - 1 field, nutrients, turbidity 2000 - 2 field, nutrients, turbidity	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	6.7-9.4 (86.9-93.3%)	1 of 3		Missing core parameters
	ADEQ Biocriteria Program At campground VRWBV005.06 100684	1999 - 1 suite	OK					Missing core parameters: bacteria.
	ADEQ Biocriteria & TMDL Above USGS gage at Rimrock VRWBV006.79 100765	1998 - 1 suite 1999 - 1 suite 2000 - 2 field, nutrients, turbidity	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	6.65 (75.2%)	1 of 1		Missing core parameters: no bacterial samples, only 1 beryllium, boron, manganese, chromium, zinc, mercury, arsenic, lead.

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED – MONITORING DATA – 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
	Reach Summary Row A&Wc Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive Agl Inconclusive Agl Inconclusive	1998 - 2000 11 samples 4 sampling events Missing core parameters	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	6.65-9.4 (75.2 -101%)	1 of 11	Attaining	ADEQ collected a total of 11 samples at 5 sites in 1998-2000. Reach assessed as "Inconclusive" due to insufficient core parametric coverage
Wet Bottom Creek headwaters-Verde River AZ15060203-020 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	USGS Station #09508300 Near Childs VRWET000.94 100777	1996 - 2 field	OK					Not perennial stream flow
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive Agl Inconclusive	1996 2 sampling events	OK				Inconclusive	USGS collected 2 field samples in 1996. Reach assessed as "Inconclusive" due to insufficient sampling events and core parametric coverage.
LAKE MONITORING DATA								
Bartlett Lake AZL15060203-0110 A&Ww, FC, FBC, DWS, Agl, Agl	ADEQ Lakes Program VRBAR-A 100009	1996 - 1 suite 1997 - 3 suites 1998 - 4 suites 1999 - 2 suites 2000 - 1 suite, 1 field	OK					Missing core parameters: bacteria
	ADEQ Lakes Program VRBAR-B 100010	1996 - 2 field 1997 - 2 suites 1998 - 3 suites 1999 - 1 suite, 1 field 2000 - 1 field	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	6.0 (90% saturation) (A&Ww)	5.7-12.2 (63-130%)	1 of 8		
	ADEQ Lakes Program VRBAR-C 100011	1996 - 1 suite 1997 - 2 suites 1998 - 3 suites 1999 - 4 suites 2000 - 2 suites	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	6.0 (90% saturation) (A&Ww)	4.9-11.5	1 of 7		
			Turbidity NTU	25 (A&Ww)	3-28	1 of 7		This turbidity exceedance was due to an upstream dam release; therefore, it is excluded in the final assessment (R18-11-118).
	ADEQ Lakes Program VRBAR-NTU1 100980	1999 - 1 turbidity	OK					Missing core parameters: bacteria.
	ADEQ Lakes Program VRBAR-NTU2 100981	1999 - 1 turbidity	OK					
	ADEQ Lakes Program VRBAR-NTU3 100982	1999 - 2 field, turbidity 2000 - 2 suites	OK					

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED – MONITORING DATA – 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
	ADEQ Lakes Program VRBAR-NTU4 100983	1999 - 2 field, 3 turbidity 2000 - 1 suite	OK					
	ADEQ Lakes Program VRBAR-NTU5 100984	1999 - 1 field	OK					
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Attaining FC Attaining FBC Inconclusive DWS Attaining Agl Attaining AgL Attaining	1996-2000 44 samples 12 sampling events Missing core parameters	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	6.0 (90% saturation) (A&Ww)	5.68-12.19	2 of 29	Attaining	ADEQ collected at total of 44 samples at 8 sites in 1996-2000. Lake is assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to the Planning List due to missing core parameters (bacteria).
Granite Basin Lake AZL15060202-0590 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ Lakes Program VRGBL - A 100024	1997 - 4 suites 1999 - 3 suites 2000 - 1 suite	Arsenic (total) µg/L	50 (FBC)	<10-69	1 of 7		Median result of samples on the date with a recorded exceedance was <10 (not exceeding standard); therefore, exceedance was not included in the final assessment.
			Dissolved oxygen mg/L	6.0 (90% saturation) (A&Ww)	4.25-15.45 (49%-159%)	3 of 7		Depth for lead was 1.75 meters.
			Lead (total) µg/L	100 (AgL) 10,000 (Agl)	5-23,000	1 of 8		Median result of all samples on the date with a recorded exceedance was <10; therefore, exceedance was not included in the final assessment.
			Manganese µg/L	10,000 (Agl)	<50-12,000	1 of 8		Median result of all samples on the date with a recorded exceedance was <10; therefore, exceedance was not included in the final assessment.
	ADEQ Lakes Program VRGBL - B 100025	1999 - 3 suites 2000 - 1 suite	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	6.0 (90% saturation) (A&Ww)	3.14-10.24 (45%- 127.5%)	1 of 3		
			pH (high) SU	6.5-9.0 (A&Ww, FBC, AgL) 4.5-9.0 (Agl)	7.1-9.5	1 of 4		
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Attaining FBC Inconclusive Agl Inconclusive AgL Inconclusive	1997-2000 8 sampling events Missing core parameters	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	6.0 (90% saturation) (A&Ww)	4.25-15.45 (49%-159%)	3 of 7	Inconclusive	ADEQ collected a total of 8 samples at 2 sites in 1997-2000. Lake assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to the Planning List due to exceedances of dissolved oxygen and pH and insufficient parametric coverage (beryllium, turbidity, bacteria)
			pH (high) SU	6.5-9.0 (A&Ww, FBC, AgL) 4.5-9.0 (Agl)	7.1-9.5	1 of 8	Inconclusive	
Green Valley Lake AZL15060203-0015 A&Ww, FC, PBC	AGFD Routine Monitoring VRGRE - site 1	1997 - 1 field and nutrients	pH (high) - SU	>6.5-<9.0 (A&Ww, PBC)	9.46	1 of 1		
	AGFD Routine Monitoring VRGRE - site 2	1997 - 1 field and nutrients	pH (high) SU	>6.5-<9.0 (A&Ww, PBC)	9.45	1 of 1		

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED - MONITORING DATA - 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID	YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
			PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Inconclusive PBC Inconclusive	1997 2 samples 1 sampling event	pH (high) SU	>6.5-9.0 (A&Ww, PBC)	9.45-9.46	2 of 2	Inconclusive	AGFD collected a total of 2 field samples at 2 sites in 1997. Lake assessed as "Inconclusive" and added to the Planning List due to pH exceedance, lack of sampling events, and core parameters.
Horseshoe Reservoir AZL15060203-0620 A&Ww, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ Clean Lakes Program VRHSR	1997 - 1 suite	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	6.0 (90% saturation) (A&Ww)	5.73-5.86 (62.7-65.8%)	1 of 1		
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FBC Inconclusive FC Inconclusive Agl Inconclusive AgL Inconclusive	1997 1 sampling event	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	6.0 (90% saturation) (A&Ww)	5.73-5.86 (62.7-65.8%)	1 of 1	Inconclusive	Lake assessed as "Inconclusive" and added to the Planning List due to low dissolved oxygen.
Pecks Lake AZL15060202-1060 A&Wc, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ Lakes Program VRPEC-A 100063	1997 - 4 suites 1999 - 3 suites 2000 - 2 suites	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	4.0-11.7	3 of 8		Missing core parameters: bacteria
			Mercury (total) µg/L	0.8 (FC)	<0.5-0.9	1 of 8		Median result did not exceed standard.
			pH (high) SU	6.5-9.0 (A&Wc, FBC, Agl)	6.8-9.7	2 of 8		
	ADEQ Lakes Program VRPEC-AA 100511	1999 - 1 suite 2000 - 1 suite	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	2.03 - 8.26 (18-85% sat.)	1 of 2		Missing core parameters: bacteria
	ADEQ Lakes Program VRPEC-F 1005113	1999 - 2 suites	OK					
	Reach Summary Row A&Wc Not attaining FC Attaining FBC Inconclusive Agl Attaining AgL Attaining	1999-2000 12 sampling events Missing core parameters	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	2-11.7	4 of 12	Not attaining	ADEQ collected a total of 12 samples at 3 sites in 1997-2000. Reach assessed as "not attaining" due to EPA approval of a DO and pH TMDL in 2000. Added to Planning List to evaluate the effectiveness of TMDL implementation strategies.
Stehr Lake AZL15060202-1480 A&Ww, FC, FBC, AgL	ADEQ Lakes Program VRSTH-A 100085	1996 - 1 suite 1997 - 3 suites	OK					Missing core parameters: bacteria, nitrogen
	Reach Summary Row A&Ww Inconclusive FC Attaining FBC Inconclusive AgL Attaining	1996-1997 4 sampling events Missing core parameters	OK				Attaining	ADEQ collected a total of 3 samples in 1997. Lake assessed as "attaining some uses" due to missing core parameters.
Stoneman Lake AZL15060202-1490 A&Wc, FC, FBC, Agl, AgL	ADEQ Lakes Program VRSTN-A 100086	1996 - 1 suite 1997 - 3 suites 1999 - 4 suites	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	4.5-13.9 (62.7-106%)	1 of 8		Missing core parameters: bacteria
			pH SU	6.5-9.0 (A&Wc, FBC, Agl, AgL)	6.82-9.9	3 of 7		

TABLE 31. VERDE WATERSHED -- MONITORING DATA -- 2002 ASSESSMENT

STREAM NAME SEGMENT WATERBODY ID DESIGNATED USES	AGENCY PROGRAM SITE DESCRIPTION SITE CODE ADEQ DATABASE ID		YEAR SAMPLED NUMBER AND TYPE OF SAMPLES	STANDARDS EXCEEDED AT THIS SITE PER SAMPLING EVENT					
				PARAMETER UNITS	STANDARD (DESIGNATED USE)	RANGE OF RESULTS (MEAN)	FREQUENCY EXCEEDED STANDARD	DESIGNATED USE SUPPORT	COMMENTS
	ADEQ Lakes Program VRSTN-B 100698		1999 - 3 suites	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	6.7 (82%)	1 of 3		May be naturally low dissolved oxygen due to ground water recharge.
				pH SU	6.5-9.0 (A&Wc, FBC, AgL, AgL)	8.81 - 9.62	1 of 3		Missing core parameters: bacteria
	Reach Summary Row		1996-1999 8 sampling events (Sample results were combined due to close proximity) Missing core parameters	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	4.5-13.9 (85-106%)	1 of 8	Not attaining	ADEQ collected a total of 8 samples at 2 sites in 1996-1999. Lake assessed as "not attaining" due to dissolved oxygen, pH, narrative nutrient TMDL completed 2000. Add to Planning list to assess the effectiveness of TMDL implementation strategies and missing core parameters.
	A&Wc Not attaining FC Attaining FBC Not attaining AgL Not attaining AgL Not attaining	pH SU		6.5-9.0 (A&Wc, FBC, AgL, AgL)	8.8 - 9.6	3 of 7	Not attaining		
Sullivan Lake AZL15060202-3370 A&Ww, FC, FBC, AgL, AgL	ADEQ Clean Lakes Program VRSUL-A 100088		1997 - 3 suites	pH (high) SU	>6.5-<9.0 (A&Ww, FBC, AgL, AgL)	8.4-9.7	1 of 3		Lake is silted to top of dam. Missing core parameters: nutrients, bacteria, beryllium
	Reach Summary Row		1997	pH (high) SU	>6.5-<9.0 (A&Ww, FBC, AgL, AgL)	8.4-9.7	1 of 3	Inconclusive	ADEQ collected a total of 3 samples in 1997. Lake assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to the Planning List due to pH exceedance and missing core parameters.
	A&Ww Inconclusive FC Attaining FBC Inconclusive AgL Attaining AgL Attaining	3 samples							
Whitehorse Lake AZL15060202-1630 A&Wc, FC, FBC, DWS, AgL, AgL	ADEQ Lakes Program VRWHH - A 100090		1997 - 4 suites 1999 - 2 suites 2000 - 3 suites	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	>7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	0.59-10.4 (0.06-145%)	4 of 8		Missing core parameters: bacteria
				pH SU	6.5-9.0 (A&Wc, FBC, AgL) 4.5-9.0 (AgL) 5.0-9.0 (DWS)	6.15-9.6 (7.0)	2 of 9 1 of 9 1 of 9		
				Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	39	8 of 8		Laboratory values used instead of field results
	ADEQ Lakes Program VRWHH-B 100724		1999 - 2 field, nutrients 2000 - 1 field	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	>7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	5.75-9.98 (73%-148%)	1 of 3		Missing core parameters: bacteria
				pH SU	6.5-9.0 (A&Wc, FBC, AgL) 4.5-9.0 (AgL) 5.0-9.0 (DWS)	7.1-9.6	1 of 3 1 of 3		
				Turbidity NTU	10 (A&Wc)	13-56	11 of 11		Laboratory values used instead of field results
	Reach Summary Row		1999-2000 12 samples 9 sampling events Missing core parameters.	Dissolved oxygen mg/L	>7.0 (90% saturation) (A&Wc)	5.75-9.98 (73%-148%)	5 of 11	Inconclusive	ADEQ collected a total of 12 samples at 2 sites from 1997-2000. Lake is assessed as "attaining some uses" and added to the Planning List due to dissolved oxygen, pH, and turbidity exceedances and missing core parameters.
	A&Ww Inconclusive FC Attaining FBC Inconclusive DWS Attaining AgL Attaining AgL Inconclusive	pH (High)		6.5-9.0 (A&Wc, FBC, AgL) 4.5-9.0 (AgL) 5.0-9.0 (DWS)	8.2-8.6	3 of 12 1 of 12 1 of 12	Inconclusive		

Information for interpreting these Monitoring Tables

Information for interpreting these Monitoring Tables

- "Segment" designates the beginning and end points of the reach.
- "Waterbody ID" is derived from combining the following: AZ (for streams) or AZL (for lakes) + a US Geological Survey Hydrologic Unit Code + EPA stream reach number or ADEQ lake number.
- "Designated Uses," "Agency," and "Units" (of measurement) abbreviations are defined in Appendix A.
- "Site Code" is an ADEQ derived abbreviation for the surface water basin, stream name or lake name, and the location of the site. For streams, the numbers are the miles upstream from mouth (normally measured as a straight line vector).
- "ADEQ Database ID" -- This is ADEQ's water quality database reference number. If the data is not in this database, no number will be shown.
- "Samples" -- The year and number of water samples is shown. The federal "water year" is used, from October 1st through September 30th, rather than the calendar year. Types of samples:
 - ▶ "Suite" indicates that a broad range of chemical constituents were collected and field measurements were taken (normally inorganics, metals, nutrients, and bacteria.) The chemical constituents monitored are not consistent among the many monitoring entities that provided the data. If the suite did not include the core parameters needed to assess a designated use as "attaining," the missing core parameters are indicated.
 - ▶ "Field" indicates that only field measurements such as dissolved oxygen, pH, turbidity, and water temperature were collected.
 - ▶ If a specific parameter or parametric group (e.g., zinc, metals, bacteria) is named, monitoring was limited to only these parameters
- "Standards Exceeded at this Site per Sampling Event."
 - ▶ Although many parameters may be analyzed, only those exceeding a standard are shown. Other parameters were collected.
 - ▶ "OK" indicates that no standards were exceeded.
 - ▶ The specific standards are shown as a single parameter may have multiple standards depending on the designated uses assigned. (See standards in Appendix C.)
 - ▶ "The Range of Results" indicates the minimum and maximum sample results. If the laboratory reported result is "less than the detection limit" or "not detected," a less than (<) value will be shown along with the detection limit (e.g., <0.5 mg/L).
 - ▶ A mean, geometric mean, or median will be shown along with the range of results if applicable to the standard or assessment criteria.
- "Comments" include other information used in interpreting the data for assessments, such as evidence that exceedance is solely due to natural conditions, or that the data does not meet the new "credible" data requirements.
- In the "Summary Row" parameter exceedances are combined from multiple sites, and the assessment of each designated use is shown. The overall assessment for the surface water is described in the "Comments" field: "Attaining," "Not attaining," "Impaired," or "Inconclusive." See assessment criteria in Chapter III of Volume I.

Ground Water Assessments in the Verde Watershed

Major Ground Water Stressors -- Monitoring data collected from wells in this watershed between October 1995-October 2000 are summarized in **Table 32** and illustrated in **Figures 60, 61, and 62**. Wells are sampled for different constituents and samples were not collected uniformly across the watershed but were collected generally as part of a special study.

Of the 118 wells monitored, few exceeded standards for radiochemicals, fluoride, metals, or nitrate. No wells exceeded pesticide standards although the Verde Valley has had extensive agricultural crop production. The location of the wells monitored and the wells exceeding standards is illustrated in **Figure 60**. Volatile organic chemicals (VOCs) exceeded standards in the Payson area. These samples were collected as part of the Superfund remediation site investigation which is described in the final section of this watershed report.

TDS Concentrations -- Water quality can be characterized based on concentration of Total Dissolved Solids (TDS). High levels of salinity can limit the practical uses of ground water, as TDS over 500 mg/L has an off-flavor, and TDS over 1000 mg/L will limit its use for some crops. As indicated in **Table 32** and illustrated in **Figure 61**, TDS does not appear to be generally elevated in this watershed; however, TDS testing was concentrated in only one region.

No TDS water quality standards apply in this watershed, as elevated levels of TDS do not present a human-health concern for drinking water. The TDS concentration is only used to generally characterize water quality. In the Verde Watershed, the lack of elevated TDS would indicate excellent ground water quality.

Nitrate Concentrations -- Water quality can also be characterized by looking at the concentration of nitrates in ground water. In Arizona, natural occurring nitrate concentrations in ground water are generally below 3 mg/L and concentrations above 5 mg/L indicate potential anthropogenic sources of nitrates. Of the 90 tested for nitrate concentration, 17 wells (19%) exceeded this level. As illustrated in **Figure 62**, elevated nitrates occur in the Payson area and north of Prescott. Irrigated agriculture, septic systems, and other wastewater disposal facilities are may be sources of this nitrate.

When nitrate concentrations exceed 10 mg/L, Arizona's Aquifer Water Quality Standard has been exceeded. This standard was set to protect human health ,as

water with nitrate greater than 10 mg/L may present a health problem for infants and should not be consumed by nursing mothers. Only two wells in the Payson area exceeded this level. As many of the wells sampled are irrigation wells (not used for drinking water), nitrates over 10 mg/L may not represent a human-health concern in this watershed. However, efforts should be made to minimize further contamination of ground water by nitrate.

Table 32. Verde Watershed Ground Water Monitoring 1996 - 2000

MONITORING DATA TYPE	PARAMETER OR PARAMETER GROUP	NUMBER OF WELLS			PERCENT OF WELLS EXCEEDING STANDARDS
		SAMPLED	SYNTHETIC CONSTITUENT DETECTED*	EXCEEDING STANDARDS	
INDEX WELLS	Radiochemicals	9		1	11%
	Fluoride	41		2	5%
	Metals/Metalloids	42		2	5%
	Nitrate	42		0	0%
	VOCs + SVOCs*	2	1	0	0%
	Pesticides	2	0	0	0%
TARGETED MONITORING WELLS	Radiochemicals	3		0	0%
	Fluoride	17		0	0%
	Metals/metalloids	52		0	0%
	Nitrate	48		2	4%
	VOCs + SVOCs*	76	46	32	42%
	Pesticides	75	0	0	0%

WELL CLASSIFICATION BY TOTAL DISSOLVED SOLIDS (TDS) CONCENTRATION				
Total Number of Wells	Wells <500 mg/L Acceptable drinking water flavor	Wells 500-999 mg/L Fresh (not saline) Some crop production problems	Wells 1000-3000 mg/L Slightly saline Increasing crop production problems	Wells >3000 mg/L Moderately saline to briny Severe crop production problems
55	43	3	0	0

WELL CLASSIFICATION BY NITRATE CONCENTRATION (measured as Nitrogen)			
Total Number of Wells	Wells <5 mg/L	Wells 5-10 mg/L May be an anthropogenic source of Nitrates	>10 mg/L Exceeds standards Should not be used for drinking water by babies or nursing mothers
90	73	15	2

*VOCs = volatile organic compounds; SVOCs = semi-volatile organic compounds.

*The detection of a synthetic constituent (pesticides, VOCs, and SVOCs) is noted because some do not have standards and these substances are not naturally occurring in the ground water.




Figure 60. Ground Water Quality Monitoring in the Verde Watershed – 1996-2000




Figure 61. Classification of Water Quality by TDS Concentrations in the Verde Watershed




Figure 62. Classification of Water Quality by Nitrate Concentrations in the Verde Watershed

Watershed Studies and Alternative Solutions in the Verde River

Surface Water Studies and Mitigation Projects

This section highlights surface and ground water studies, mitigation projects, and remediation activities which have been conducted to improve water quality in the Verde Watershed. Watershed partnerships active in this watershed are also described.

Total Maximum Daily Load Analyses – The following TMDL analyses have been completed or are ongoing in this watershed. Further information about the status of these investigations can be obtained by contacting the TMDL Program Manager at (602) 771-4468, or at ADEQ's web site:

<http://www.adeq.state.az.us/envirom/water/assess/tmdl.html>

- **Oak Creek and Munds Creek Nutrient TMDL** – The total nitrogen and total phosphorus Total Maximum Daily Load originally established in 1987 for Oak Creek was recalculated by ADEQ, at the community's request. This TMDL was extended to include Munds Creek, a tributary to Oak Creek on the 1998 303(d) List due to nutrients and bacterial contamination. The recalculated TMDL used more sophisticated simulation models that included allowances for non-point sources. It was approved by EPA in 1999.

Oak Creek flows approximately 21 miles, with a 464 square mile drainage area, dropping 2500 feet through a steep walled canyon in the upper reaches to more gently rolling hills and plateaus in the lower reaches. Oak Creek and the West Fork of Oak Creek are classified as Unique Waters, subject to more stringent antidegradation protection and surface water standards. Munds Creek, one of several perennial tributaries to Oak Creek, does not share this Unique Waters status.

The Total Maximum Daily Loads for nitrogen and phosphorus in the Oak Creek and Munds Creek are:

- ▶ Total Nitrogen = 440 kilograms/day (67 from point sources, 365 from nonpoint sources, and 8 as a margin of safety)
- ▶ Phosphorus TMDL = 58 kg/day (13 from point sources, 43 from nonpoint sources, and 2 as a margin of safety)

The primary conclusions and recommendations included in the 1999 nutrient TMDL included:

- ▶ Existing monitoring data and watershed simulation of conditions in the Oak Creek system over a five-year period suggest that few nutrient standards violations occur;
- ▶ Modeling results do not indicate a need to alter existing NPDES permit discharge limits;
- ▶ ADEQ interprets that the surface water quality Antidegradation Rule (R18-11-107) for Unique Waters (Oak Creek and West Fork of Oak Creek) to mean no new or additional loading sources for Oak Creek, nor for any tributaries if the tributary loads affect Oak Creek;
- ▶ No new nutrient limits need to be set for septic system loadings (these were simulated as point source loadings due to modeling constraints); however, special studies of septic system efficiencies and recreational impacts should be conducted; and
- ▶ Oak Creek's status as a Unique Water requires a comprehensive water quality and hydrologic monitoring program of sites on the creek, major tributaries, and major springs and other ground water sources. This also includes working with the Oak Creek Flood Warning System to improve its precipitation gage network and data management system. ADEQ does not have the resources to conduct this type of monitoring by itself and encourages stakeholders to coordinate with monitoring agencies and seek grants to pay for such monitoring.

- **Slide Rock Pathogen TMDL** – The swimming area in Slide Rock State Park on Oak Creek has experience seasonal exceedances of bacterial standards since the late 1960s. In 1996, the Arizona State Parks Service began daily testing of *Escherichia coli* at Slide Rock State Park to determine when standards are being exceeded and subsequently close the swimming area to protect the public health.

A study completed in 1998 by ADEQ established that a significant sediment reservoir of bacteria becomes suspended as a result of recreation pressure and storm events. No point sources discharge upstream of Slide Rock. Possible nonpoint source contributions include recreation, improper waste disposal, septic system seepage, and storm water runoff. Attempts were made to identify whether the bacteria were originally human, domestic animal, or wildlife through DNA genotyping.

Given the uncertainties inherent in the overwintering and regrowth phenomena of the bacteria and the relationship of sediment to water fecal coliform, a phase approach to load reductions is needed to meet standards. An implementation plan is being created to meet the following TMDL allocation:

- ▶ 30% reduction of sediment fecal coliform (i.e., reduction in summer sediment fecal coliform values to below 1,160,000 CFU/100 ml) , and
No exceedances of the single sample maximum *Escherichia coli* standard (580 CFU/100 ml) by 2002.

Or if *Escherichia coli* standard is not met by 2002, the TMDL automatically is amended to the same 30% reduction in sediment fecal coliform values and meet the single sample maximum standard by 2005.

Source reduction, coupled with Slide Rock State Park management practices are intended to ensure protection of public health at the park. The goal is to totally avoid swimming or full body contact exposure when *Escherichia coli* is at or above the single sample maximum.

- Pecks Lake pH and Dissolved Oxygen TMDL – A TMDL was completed by Tetra Tech, Inc. for ADEQ and approved by EPA in 2002. Pecks Lake, a 95 acre oxbow remnant of the Verde River, was impaired by two stressors: pH and dissolved oxygen. This TMDL focused on nutrient loading to Peck's Lake, as plant and algal productivity were tied to biological oxygen demand, availability of dissolved oxygen, and elevated pH. Nutrient loadings were also a concern as the area surrounding the lake is being developed as a residential area with 900 residences, a golf course, and commercial property.

The TMDL investigation showed that the occasional pH values that exceed the surface water standard and seasonal decreases in dissolved oxygen below the standard are primarily due to the effects of weed growth (macrophytes) on Peck's Lake water quality. At times macrophytes cover about 90 percent of the lake surface and play a major role in nutrient cycling and water quality processes in the lake. Internal nutrient cycling within the lake has resulted in the buildup and breakdown of aquatic vegetation resulting in dissolved oxygen, pH, and narrative nutrient standard violations.

TMDL allocations call for a "no net gain" in external nutrient loading to Peck's Lake. Internal nutrient loadings of both total phosphorus and total nitrogen need to be reduced 25% through harvesting of aquatic macrophytes and other methods. The Total Maximum Daily Load for nutrients were calculated to be:

Total Nitrogen – 74.4 pounds per day
Total Phosphorus – 11.15 pounds per day

This loading was distributed between the following sources, with an allocation reserved for margin of safety: natural background, development, and in-lake. If the existing passive flow through the lake is determined to not be sufficient during the first 5-year phase of this TMDL, additional aeration devices may be necessary.

TMDL implementation will include various strategies to minimize input from runoff and reduce internal nutrient cycling. A comprehensive and detailed monitoring plan has also been incorporated into the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Plan for the Verde Valley Ranch development.

- Stoneman Lake TMDLs – In 2000, EPA approved dissolved oxygen, pH, and narrative nutrient TMDLs on Stoneman Lake. The TMDL was completed by Malcolm Pirnie under contract with ADEQ. Stoneman Lake is a 120-acre natural lake with a 900 acre watershed primarily of pine forest. A 70-home development is on the eastern side of the lake. The lake is relatively shallow (less than 10 feet deep), has no surface water outlet, and is designated as a cold water fishery. The lake has historically experienced an abundant growth of submerge aquatic vegetation during the warm weather months. Generally a TMDL is allocated for critical hydrologic conditions. For

Stoneman Lake this would be the lake going dry, as water quality in Stoneman lake will actually be best during wet years. Because of the impracticality of developing a TMDL for a dry lake, the TMDL was calculated for average hydrologic conditions. Within this context, the most critical season is the summer, with high temperatures and peak macrophyte growth.

The nutrient Total Maximum Daily Loads for Stoneman Lake were determined to be:

- ▶ Total Nitrogen – 2,057 grams per day (40% precipitation, 28% runoff and ground water recharge, 32% septic systems)
- ▶ Total Phosphorus – 676 grams per day (30% precipitation, 40% runoff/ground water recharge, 15% septic systems)

Dissolved oxygen standards should be met by the implementation of these nutrient loads as they are predicted to cause a 35% reduction in Biological Oxygen Demand over the growing season (from 11.9 to 7.7 mg/liter per day). Summer pH are also predicted to attain surface water quality standards based on the predicted 35% reduction in biomass density (from 410 to 258 grams of dry weight per cubic meter) with the implementation of this nutrient TMDL. Monitoring may demonstrate a need to create a site-specific seasonal pH criterion, as high natural pH is characteristic of shallow, high elevation lakes in Arizona.

The Stoneman Lake TMDL suggested and compared the costs and benefits of seven alternatives to bringing about the necessary reduction in loads. Of the seven alternatives, one is predicted to provide significant water quality benefits at a moderate cost: reopen a ditch to increase water flow into the lake by one-third, thereby helping to maintain higher average lake levels. However, reopening the CCC ditch will likely take 2-3 years, if it occurs. Meantime, increased monitoring will better define expectations for the system in the absence of the ditch water, and if the ditch cannot be reopened, this new data will be used to evaluate the need to set site-specific standards for pH, dissolved oxygen and narrative nutrients or revise designated uses.

- Verde River Turbidity TMDL – A turbidity TMDL for the Verde River was approved by EPA in May 2002. The Verde River is a perennial stream approximately 156 miles long. Three segments of the Verde River are listed as impaired due to turbidity in the upper section of this

river between Perkinsville and Camp Verde.

A massive sampling effort was undertaken in October and December of 1999, collecting one hundred and eighty turbidity readings from above Perkinsville to Camp Verde. All turbidity values observed were below the 50 NTU Aquatic and Wildlife warm-water standard; however, these turbidity readings were taken during relatively low flows and not following a storm event. Natural levels for sediment are believed to be significant inputs into the Verde River, but have been accelerated due to anthropogenic influences.

Load allocations and reduction targets were identified in the TMDL. Turbidity impairment appears to be directly correlated to large storm events, and no load reduction is necessary during average base flow conditions (when exceedances do not occur). The Target Load Capacity for the Verde River during the critical storm flows was calculated to be 731,793 pounds per day as Total Suspended Solids (TSS), while the measured load was estimated to be 964,694 pounds per day as TSS. Therefore the Load Reduction necessary is the difference: 232,901 pounds per day as TSS.

A variety of Best Management Practices have been identified as part of the implementation plan to reduce sediment loading to the Verde River. Some of the implementation strategies include:

- ▶ Improve livestock management practices within the Verde Watershed.
- ▶ Designate off-highway vehicle areas and employ Best Management Practices at these sites. Enforce off-road travel regulations, educate the public, and close or obliterate unneeded roads.
- ▶ Reduce impacts from dispersed recreation through implementation of the "Red Rock Passport," a comprehensive recreation plan for the Sedona area. Recreational opportunities have been limited on some heavily used areas to help reduce soil compaction and erosion from these activities.
- ▶ Grassland restoration projects have been implemented to reduce pinyon and juniper densities and increase vegetative ground cover. This should increase infiltration and reduce soil erosion.
- ▶ The US Forest Service, Verde Watershed Association, and Verde Natural Resources Conservation District continue to

- ▶ sponsor educational opportunities and public involvement in decisions regarding long-term management of this resource.
- ▶ The US Forest Service, Verde River Greenway and the Nature Conservancy have been acquiring land adjacent to the Verde River through land exchanges and purchasing to reduce development in the active flood plain.
- ▶ Prescribed fire treatments are being implemented to reduce adverse watershed effects from uncontrolled wildfire.
- ▶ Maintenance and modifications to silted in water catchment structures (such as cattle tanks and Sullivan Lake Dam) will reduce the amounts of fine sediments being brought into the river system.

It may take at least 10 years to see the effectiveness of implemented TMDL strategies. US EPA recognizes that sediment TMDLs with primarily non-point sources of pollution can be difficult to manage, and that these problems have been created over generations and may require as long to correct.

- Beaver Creek and Wet Beaver Creek TMDL Studies – ADEQ collected samples and investigated potential sources of turbidity on Beaver and Wet Beaver creeks and low dissolved oxygen on Beaver Creek in 1999-2000. The low dissolved oxygen was determined to be naturally occurring due to ground water upwelling, as ground water naturally contains very low levels of dissolved oxygen. No turbidity exceedances occurred on Wet Beaver Creek out of 11 samples. Based on these investigations, ADEQ is recommending delisting Beaver Creek for low dissolved oxygen and Wet Beaver Creek for turbidity.

However, the turbidity TMDL investigation of sources and loadings is ongoing in Beaver Creek. ADEQ is currently working with the US Forest Service to look at recent and potential improvements in rangeland and recreation management in this drainage area.

Draft Verde River Assimilative Capacity Data Summary Report – Significant population growth is projected for some portions of the Verde Watershed, and this growth will increase the nutrient loads from runoff, septic systems, and proposed new or expanded waste water discharges.

ADEQ contracted with Tetra Tech, Inc. to provide technical support for an assimilative capacity study. If the assimilative capacity of the river is

anticipated to be exceeded with the addition of the proposed new point sources and secondary impacts from increased population, a Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) will need to be developed to allocate the available assimilative capacity and ensure that the river continues to support its designated uses. This data summary report catalogues, evaluates, and assesses the existing data and information about nutrient loadings in the Verde River. This will provide the information needed to select an appropriate water quality model. This report provides a summary of existing sources of data, standards, potential sources of nutrient loads in the watershed, a possible conceptual model, and remaining data gaps.

Water Quality Improvement Grants – ADEQ awarded the following Water Quality Improvement Grants in this watershed:

- Northern Arizona University On-site Wastewater Demonstration Project – This on-going project began in 1997. This project involves the construction of four different alternative on-site wastewater treatment technologies on the Northern Arizona University campus using married student housing wastewater effluent. The treatment options are linked to a system that controls operations and monitors and relays wastewater treatment parameters.

The project utilizes the teaching and student staff of the Civil Engineering Department. Training for on-site professionals is conducted at the demonstration site and the teaching pavilion as well as at alternate locations such as Maricopa and Pima County. The project will demonstrate the design and treatment options of site conditions typical to northern Arizona which are challenging situations of shallow clay soils over rock. Research and product approval options are also available at the site.

- Oak Creek Pollution Prevention Project – The project addresses the bacterial contamination in Oak Creek that may be contributed by failing septic system (see prior discussion of Oak Creek's pathogen TMDL). In 1998, Coconino County received funds to partner with property owners and upgrade 8-10 existing failed or substandard on-site wastewater treatment systems along Oak Creek. The project will monitor and evaluate the performance of these installations for one year.

The project also has a strong community education outreach component to increase the knowledge and cooperation of the public regarding on-site wastewater treatment and pollution prevention using a website, workshops, and formation of a Technical Advisory Committee for wastewater permitting issues.

- Northern Arizona University Oak Creek Sampling and *Escherichia coli* DNA Genotyping Project – Under the direction of the NAU Department of Environmental Microbiology, *Escherichia coli* samples were collected in water and sediment at five sites along Oak Creek Canyon. This study was designed to further characterize the existing bacterial problem in Oak Creek Canyon (see Slide Rock pathogen TMDL study above). Fecal material from potential mammal populations in the sub-watershed were also sampled to develop *Escherichia coli* genotypes. The report identifies the type and relative proportion of fecal pollution in Oak Creek, identifying contributions from human, cattle, dog, elk, deer, horse, mountain lion, racoon skunk beaver, antelope, bear and llama.
- Oak Creek Water Quality Guardian Project – This project is a cooperative effort with local property owners (homeowner associations), Coconino County Environmental Health Department and Groundwater Guardian affiliates to upgrade up to 10 old and potentially failing on-site septic systems from along high risk or susceptible area along Oak Creek. (See Oak Creek nutrient TMDL and Slide Rock pathogen TMDL described above.) The project principal, Canyon Services, has also mapped some of the areas' susceptible and challenging soil conditions. After upgrades are completed, the systems will be monitored for bacteria and phosphorus.
- Oak Creek Water Quality Guardian Sediment Project – In 1999, Circle C Engineering received funds to evaluate the effectiveness of using sediment traps in reducing bacterial pollution in Oak Creek (see Slide Rock pathogen TMDL). Erosion control sediment traps were placed at four strategic locations and monitored during storm events to provide data about transportation of fecal material in Oak Creek and the effectiveness of sediment traps. The project is a cooperative effort between Forest Service, State Parks, and citizens.
- Stoneman Lake Guardian Project – Circle C Engineering, a Groundwater Guardian affiliate, was awarded funds to upgrade septic

systems, address grey water disposal, and provide sediment traps. A Groundwater Guardian newsletter was published to educate the public on the grant objectives and opportunities to participate in the seven septic upgrades and grey water systems. Monitoring for nitrate and orthophosphate will occur below the sediment traps and in washes and culverts during storm events to assess incoming loads to the lake. (See Stoneman Lake TMDL discussed above.)

- Cornville Watershed Project – A grant was awarded to the Yavapai County Flood Control District and local residents to revegetate a storm water detention pond using solar power to establish native grasses and shrubs to reduce sediment causing turbidity in Oak Creek. The project also used cattle to restabilize erosion gullies at the pond site. The site will be used for educational programs with local schools, and nearby Cornville Park. Workshops, educational materials, website and news releases are part of a strong community outreach component of this project.

Water Protection Fund Projects – The following projects received Water Protection Funds from the Arizona Department of Water Resources:

- Stable Isotope Assessment of Ground and Surface Water Interaction Between Chino Valley and the Verde River – Arizona State University was awarded funds to sample surface and ground water in the Chino Valley, and to analyzed the waters for naturally occurring stable isotopes of hydrogen and oxygen. The main goal of the study was to determine if a hydraulic connection exists between the aquifers of the Chino Valley and the Verde River. This information would assist in determining the effects, if any, of ground water pumping within the Chino Valley on the flow in the upper Verde River. The study was completed in 1997.
- Sycamore Creek Riparian Management Area Project – The Tonto National Forest was funded to restore and protect a 19-mile reach of Sycamore Creek (a major tributary of the Verde River) from uncontrolled livestock grazing and off-road vehicle use. To stop further damage to the creek from uncontrolled livestock grazing and off-road vehicle use, 15 miles of fence were constructed to enclose the riparian corridor. The objective is to increase the canopy cover and density of riparian vegetation within the corridor. The project was completed in 1999.

- Road Reclamation to Improve Riparian Habitat Along the Hassayampa and Verde Rivers – The Prescott National Forest received a grant for a three-year project that should result in closure and revegetation of almost 20 miles of roads adjacent to the Hassayampa and Verde rivers. The goal of the project is to reduce erosion and sedimentation into the rivers, restore riparian and upland vegetation on the closed and reclaimed road surfaces, and eliminate unauthorized roads. The project was completed in 1999.
- Riparian Habitat Restoration Along a Perennial Reach of a Verde River Tributary – Northern Arizona University received funds for a three-year project to restore habitat critical to the successful regeneration of a Bebb willow-mixed graminoid riparian plant community. The project site is in the area of Hart Prairie (northwest of Flagstaff) on a tributary to Sycamore Creek. The project involves removing an existing surface water diversion, restoring the natural drainage channel, fencing critical areas, and monitoring vegetation response to hydrologic changes. The project was completed in 1999.
- Restoration of Fossil Creek Riparian Ecosystem – Rocky Mountain Research Station in Flagstaff was awarded a grant to determine the potential effects that a proposed reestablishment of part or all of the presently diverted flows of Fossil Creek could have on reestablishing riparian vegetation along the stream's corridor. The project was to compare and contrast historical vegetation with present vegetation to determine the consequences of adding additional water into the creek. The stream has been de-watered for almost 80 years by the diversions for hydroelectric use, but may receive some of all of this water within the next few years. The project was completed in 1999.
- Watson Woods Vegetation Inventory – The Prescott Creeks Preservation Association completed a vegetation inventory of Watson Woods in 1998. This inventory characterized the vegetative communities within the Watson Woods Preserve in order to describe baseline conditions at the site. This information will guide management and restoration efforts at the preserve.
- Upper Verde Adaptive Management Unit – The Almida Land and Cattle Company was awarded a Watershed Protection Grant to maintain the continued health of riparian habitat along the Verde River. The company is to develop a livestock grazing system that excludes cattle

from replacement facilities uplands. To achieve this, the grantee will build six miles of four-strand barbed wire fencing, construct seven miles of underground pipeline, install twelve drinkers, and two 20,000 gallon storage tanks. The project is to be completed in 2002.

- Verde Riparian Action Plan – The Verde Natural Resources Conservation District (NRCD) was awarded a three-year grant to dig trenches and holes for planting cottonwood and willow trees along the Verde River and its perennial tributaries. Since 1991, the Verde NRCD has maintained a riparian species nursery and each year trees are harvested and sold or planted. This project will support the NRCD Riparian Species Planting Program efforts to restore riparian habitat of the Verde River.
- Horseshoe Allotment: Verde Riparian Project II – George and Sharon Yard, who ranch on U.S. Forest Service land along the Verde River, were awarded a Watershed Protection Grant to create an off-river pasture through development of a currently dry pasture. This goal is to improve 3.75 miles of the Verde River by constructing pasture division fencing, river fencing, and a waterline for five cattle drinkers, three small wildlife drinkers, and two storage tanks. The project is to be completed in 2001.
- Upper Verde Valley Riparian Area Historical Analysis – Northern Arizona University (NAU) received funds to compare the historical riparian system of a seven-mile reach along the Verde River, with the current system to determine what changes have occurred in riparian vegetation. The grantee assessed the relationships between vegetation changes and climatic factors, human land use activities and varying ground water levels to determine which vegetation changes were caused by human activities in the watershed. Based on the results of this study, NAU made recommendations for preservation, restoration, and enhancement of riparian habitat. The project was completed in 2001.
- Verde River Headwaters Riparian Restoration Demonstration Project -- Northern Arizona University received funds to restore the channel and riparian vegetation along 2600 feet of a perennial stream that flows in Clover Springs Valley. The proposed restoration area is located in the Coconino National Forest about 5.5 miles south of Clint's Well on Highway 87. Specific project objectives include:

- ▶ Develop and implement a channel stabilization and wetland protection plan for the Clover Springs reach. This will include removal of existing channel structures, reshaping and redirecting the channel and use of low impact structures to encourage natural channel stability;
- ▶ Determine the causative factors and timing of aggradation and incision in this reach through investigation of past flood plain activity, radiocarbon dating and description of sediments, tree ring dating and historic photos;
- ▶ Develop an information kiosk or signs at the site to explain the role of meadow ecosystems, historic disturbances, current conditions, desired conditions, and restoration techniques.

- Effects of Livestock Use on Riparian Trees on the Verde River – Arizona State University is to study how various livestock use levels affect growth, survival and population dynamics of Goodding Willow and Fremont Cottonwood trees along the Verde River. Under the terms of a Biological Opinion for the Skeleton Ridge Allotment, no more than 40 percent of the meristems of these woody species may be used for grazing. This standard has been adopted by the Tonto National Forest for riparian areas with federally listed species. Anecdotal information supports this level of use but little quantitative data exists to support this standard. The project is to be completed in 2003.

Rocky Mountain Research Station Verde River Watershed Research – The US Forest Service Rocky Mountain Research Station has been conducting research in or adjacent to the Verde River Watershed since establishment of the Fort Valley Experimental Forest in 1908. Twenty drainage areas were instrumented with stream gauges, precipitation gages, and other equipment. Over 700 publications have been produced from the Beaver Creek Project alone. Since 1993, research has focused on the upper Verde River and Fossil Creek, looking at fish populations, riparian vegetation, water quality, and channel geomorphology. Some of their most recent reports include:

- A Preliminary Analysis of Riparian Habitat Conditions of the Upper Verde River (Medina, 2001) – Several vegetation and channel surveys were conducted in 1997, 1998, and 2000 in the upper Verde River. The study site is limited to the reach between Sullivan Dan and Tapco, the eastern boundary of the Chino Ranger District. The preliminary results of these studies are presented with special emphasis on stream bank herbaceous and woody vegetation and channel conditions that might

influence spikedeace. The plant communities described are those found on the streambanks and not totally inclusive of the entire riparian zone. Several influences capable of affecting the functional condition of riparian habitats are discussed including channel maintenance, exotic vegetation, grazing effects, and channel conditions.

- A Preliminary View of Water Quality Conditions of the Upper Verde River (Medina, 2001) – In 2000, two water quality monitoring stations were installed in the upper Verde River for the purpose of monitoring common parameters such as temperature, turbidity, conductivity, pH, dissolved oxygen, and suspended sediments. The preliminary results of one year of study are reported in this paper and contrasted with data from previous surveys.
- Base Flow Trends and Native Fish in the Upper Verde River (Neary and Rinne, 2001) – Although much attention has been given to the effects of storm flows on native fish in Arizona's rivers, the minimum base flows are the most critical for fish survival. Because of the controversy over threatened and endangered fish such as the spikedeace (*Meda fulgida*) in the upper Verde River, it is important to examine the recent trends in minimum base flows on this river which supports a native fish community. Base flow and trends are reported.
- Role of Verde River Reservoirs on Water Quality: from Arsenic to Algae (Westerhoff et al., 2001) -- Variable climatic patterns and scheduling of reservoir releases along the Verde River impacts water quality in Horseshoe and Bartlett reservoirs, which serve as approximately one-third of the drinking water supply for the metropolitan Phoenix area. Data collected over the past five years along the Verde River from the confluence of Tangle Creek to the confluence with the Salt River was used to assess the impact of water quality in the Verde River on downstream potable drinking water facilities. The database includes arsenic, organic carbon, plus total and dissolved nitrogen and phosphorus in the Verde River and Bartlett Reservoir. In addition the database includes information on the algae occurrence in the reservoir, and the seasonal concentrations of algae-produced taste and odor compounds. This paper discusses how water quality in the Verde River impacts downstream potable water treatment plants in terms of meeting tightening drinking water regulations and providing water that does not have un-aesthetic tastes or odors.

Watershed Condition Assessment for Select Verde River 5th Code

Watersheds – The Prescott National Forest assessed the watershed condition of lands from Big Chino Wash to Childs on the west side of the Verde River. The watershed assessment focused on three resource components: aquatic, riparian and soil conditions within the watershed, and related this information to designate critical habitat for spikedace (*Meda fulgida*) and loach minnow (*Rhinichthys cobitis*), two native threatened fish species. The aquatic assessment included information on water quality, macroinvertebrates, fisheries habitat, and geomorphology of the river.

Verde River Corridor Project – The Verde River Corridor Project began in the fall of 1989 as a locally directed effort, sponsored by the Arizona State Parks Stream and Wetland Program, with the goals of examining all the uses and values of the Verde River corridor. The study area covers the middle stretch of the Verde River which extends approximately 55 to 60 river miles, from TAPCO (north of Clarkdale) to Beasley Flat (south of Camp Verde). The mission of the project was to identify and recognize all uses of the Verde River corridor, encourage protection of the Verde River and its natural and cultural resources, and promote coordinated decision making for the continued enjoyment and use of the Verde River by future generations.

Ground Water Studies and Mitigation Projects

Prescott Active Management Area Baseline Study – The Prescott Active Management Area is 485 square miles, with the northern half in the Verde Watershed and the southern half in the Middle Gila Watershed. See discussion of this study in the Middle Gila Watershed section.

Federal and state Superfund cleanup sites – Three Superfund and Department of Defense cleanup sites are located in this watershed.

- **Camp Navajo** – Camp Navajo (previously Navajo Depot Activity), is a WQARF site located in Bellemont, Arizona, 12 miles west of Flagstaff and 17 miles east of Williams, Arizona. This 28,347 acres facility includes 776 igloo structures for storage of conventional (and formerly chemical) munitions. There is a demolition area in the southern portion and buffer zones along the eastern and western borders of the base. Contaminants of concern include heavy metals, volatile and semi-volatile organic compounds, pesticides, and constituents of explosives.

The entire site is still in the remedial investigation phase, with ADEQ collecting soil, surface water, and ground water samples to determine the extent of contamination. The unexploded ordinance located on the surface of the open burning-open detonation range is of concern. ADEQ and the Army have agreed that surface clearance will be performed while the remedial investigation continues.

- **Payson PCE site** – In 1990, the discovery of tetrachloroethene (PCE), a solvent commonly used in dry-cleaning, in two unused Payson municipal wells caused the initial investigations at the WQARF site. ADEQ investigations found that PCE had impacted a number of private wells in the immediate vicinity.

ADEQ and the town of Payson have taken precautions to prevent public exposure to the contamination. The Arizona Department of Health Services developed a "Statement of Risk" to identify risks associated with consumption of water from contaminated private wells in the area. Although most of the private wells were contaminated at levels below the drinking water standard established to protect human health (5 µg/L), well owners were advised to not drink the water and that ADEQ would provide a temporary supply of bottled water until the owners could secure an alternative supply. Continuing tests indicate that the town of Payson municipal water supply has not been affected by the PCE.

- **Tonto and Cherry Streets in Payson site** – The Tonto and Cherry WQARF site in Payson is 400 feet west of the Beeline Highway and immediately north of Frontier Street. Tetrachloroethene (PCE) has been detected in three private drinking water and three ground water monitoring wells at the site. PCE concentrations in the private wells exceed the drinking water standard; therefore, bottled drinking water is being provided to these private well owners on a temporary basis. A fact sheet was mailed to all of the residents and businesses within the community involvement area and in December 2000, the Tonto and Cherry community advisory board (CAB) combined with the existing Payson PCE Community Advisory Board.

In February 2001, ADEQ completed the installation of three ground water monitoring wells near Tonto and Cherry Streets. Due to ground water information obtained during drilling and sampling, ADEQ decided not to install extraction wells at Tonto and Cherry at this time.

However, ADEQ will continue to conduct monthly ground water measurements and quarterly ground water quality sampling at the site.

Watershed Partnerships

Verde Watershed Association -- The Verde Watershed Association was formally organized in 1993. The association is made up of concerned citizens from the community, users of the Verde watershed resources, and local, state and federal agencies. Members identified key issues, and are identifying sources of water and the real and potential threats of pollution to these waters. In addition, the association has initiated and or participated in programs to remedy these concerns. It is important to understand that this is a locally led effort with the role of federal and state governments being that of administrative assistance and technical support. The group meets monthly in Cottonwood, Arizona.

The Verde Watershed Association publishes the monthly newsletter *Verde Currents* (formerly *Confluence*) which is available on its website. The association has developed a Watershed Restoration Action Strategy which is also available on their website: <http://www.vwa.southwest-water.org>

Oak Creek Task Force -- The Oak Creek Task Force is an organization of agencies and concerned citizens. Agencies actively involved in the Oak Creek Task force are: Arizona State Parks, US Forest Service, City of Sedona, Arizona Department of Water Resources, Northern Arizona University, Coconino County and Arizona Department of Environmental Quality. The group is actively involved in grant projects and public outreach to maintain and protect the Unique Water status of the beautiful and very popular Oak Creek Canyon. The Task Force has a draft Watershed-based Plan targeted to be finalized in fall of 2001. Information about meetings can be obtained from Co-chairmen: Barry Allan, (602) 953-1291 and Morgan Stine, (520) 282-1101.

Verde River Alliance -- This citizen initiative advocacy group is in its formative stages following workshops developed with the assistance of the Nature Conservancy. As of this writing, the group has a newly elected steering committee of six members and draft mission statement and objectives. Information about this group's activities can be obtained at the following e-mail address vrca@verdenet.com.

REFERENCES

- ADEQ. 1994a. Biological sampling protocols: reference site selection and sampling methods. Prepared by R. D. Meyerhoff and P. H. Spindler. Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix 23 pp.
- ADEQ. 1994b. Arizona priority pollutant sampling program - 1993 report. EQR 94-3. Prepared by Sam Rector. Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix. 29 pp.
- ADEQ. 1995a. Fixed station network procedures manual for monitoring surface water quality. Prepared by the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix. 266 pp.
- ADEQ. 1995c. Upper Tonto Creek intensive survey. Prepared by R. Scott Williams in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Open File Report 95-3. Phoenix. 19 pp.
- ADEQ. 1996a. Using ecoregions for explaining macroinvertebrate community distribution among reference stream sites in Arizona, 1992. Prepared by Patrice Spindler, Biocriteria Development Program in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix, 41 pp. plus appendices.
- ADEQ. 1996b. Phase I diagnostic/feasibility study of Rainbow Lake, Arizona. EQR 96-13. Prepared by the Clean Lakes Program in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix. 170 pp.
- ADEQ. 1996c. Christopher Creek intensive survey phase II. Prepared by R. Scott Williams in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Open File Report 96-22. Phoenix. 25 pp.
- ADEQ. 1996d. Groundwater quality study for Casa Grande area, Arizona. Prepared by Robert Wallin in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix. 20 pp.
- ADEQ. 1996e. Fixed station network procedures manual for surface water quality monitoring. Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. TM-96-1. Phoenix.
- ADEQ. 1997a. Arizona priority pollutant sampling program 1994 report. OFR 97-14. Prepared by Sam Rector in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix. 44 pp.
- ADEQ. 1997b. Arizona priority pollutant sampling program 1995 report. OFR 97-18. Prepared by Sam Rector in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix. 11 pp.
- ADEQ. 1997c. The impacts of septic systems on water quality of shallow perched aquifers: a case study of Fort Valley, Arizona. OFR 97-7. Prepared by Douglas Towne, Wang Yu, and Steve Emrick in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix. 70 pp.
- ADEQ. 1997d. Prescott mining project - final report. Vol 1. Prepared by Andrew Cajero-Travers in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix. 60 pp.
- ADEQ. 1997e. Regrowth of fecal coliforms in swim areas of Lake Havasu, Arizona. Prepared by the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section in cooperation with ADHS by Peter Hyde, Donald Johnstone, Don Reese, and Don Frey. Phoenix, Arizona. 262 pp.
- ADEQ. 1997f. Cibola ground water quality study, Arizona. Prepared by Andrew Cajero-Travers in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix. 23 pp.
- ADEQ. 1998a. Arizona's water quality assessment 1998. Volumes I and II. EQR 98-14. Prepared by the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix. 260 pp.
- ADEQ. 1998b. Arizona's 1998 water quality limited waters list (Arizona's 303(d) list). Prepared by the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. EQR-98-8. Phoenix. 46 pp.
- ADEQ. 1998c. Ambient ground water quality of the Yuma Basin, Arizona, 1995. Prepared by Douglas C. Towne and Wang K. Yu in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. ADEQ Open File Report 98-07. Phoenix, Arizona. 121 pp.

- ADEQ. 1999a. Ambient ground water quality of the Virgin River Basin, Arizona: a 1997 baseline study. ADEQ Open File Report 99-04. Prepared by Douglas C. Towne in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix. 98 pp.
- ADEQ. 1999b. Total maximum daily loads (TMDLs) for total phosphorus and total nitrogen in the Oak Creek basin, Arizona (including Munds Creek). Prepared by Robert Scalamera in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix. 112 pp.
- ADEQ. 1999c. Pathogen TMDL -- Slide Rock State Park -- Oak Creek Canyon, Arizona. Phoenix. Prepared by Susan Fitch in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix. 23 pp.
- ADEQ. 1999d. A fish consumption advisory investigation for the Middle Gila River; patterns and trends. Prepared by Sam Rector in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix Arizona. 10 pp.
- ADEQ. 1999e. Ambient groundwater quality of the Prescott Active Management Area: an ADEQ 1997-1998 baseline study. Prepared by Douglas Towne in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix 25 pp.
- ADEQ. 2000a. The status of water quality in Arizona - Clean Water Act Section 305(b) Report 2000. Prepared by the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix. 264 pp. plus appendices.
- ADEQ. 2000b. Nutrioso Creek TMDL -- for turbidity. Prepared by Shad Bowman in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix. 16 pp.
- ADEQ. 2001a. Macroinvertebrate community distribution among reference sites in Arizona: 1992-94. Prepared by the Biocriteria Program in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Open File Report 00-05. Phoenix. 18 pp. plus appendices.
- ADEQ. 2001b. Biocriteria Program quality assurance program plan (QAPrP). Prepared by the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix. 35 pp.
- ADEQ. 2001c draft. Narrative toxicity standard implementation guidelines for Arizona. Prepared by the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix. 9 pp.
- ADEQ. 2001d. Ambient ground water quality in the Sacramento Valley Basin study, Arizona 1999. Prepared by Douglas C. Towne and Maureen C. Freark in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. ADEQ Open File Report 01-04. Phoenix, Arizona. 79 pp.
- ADEQ 2001. Paria River Total Maximum Daily Load case study for turbidity and beryllium -- Arizona. Prepared by Sara Konrad and Jalyn Cummings in the Hydrologic Support and Assessment Section. Phoenix. 14 pp.
- ADHS. 1991. Risk assessment for recreational usage of the Painted Rocks Borrow Pit Lake at Gila Bend, Arizona. Prepared for ADEQ. Phoenix. 35 pp.
- ADWR. 1994. Arizona water resources assessment - volumes I and II - inventory and analysis and hydrologic summary. Phoenix. 516 pp.
- ADWR. 2000. Arizona Water Protection Fund Commission annual report. Status of Water Protection Fund Grants 1999-2000. Phoenix. 55 pp.
- AGFD. 1993. Statewide riparian inventory and mapping project. Phoenix. 138 pp.
- AGFD. 1997a. Remote sensing mapping of Arizona -- Intermittent stream riparian areas. Technical report 112. Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Program. Phoenix. 58 pp.
- AGFD. 1997b. Statewide riparian inventory and mapping project: methodology and updated accuracy assessments for perennial waters. Technical report 111. Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Program. Phoenix. 73 pp.
- AGFD. 1997c. Statewide riparian inventory and mapping project -- Executive summary of nongame technical reports 111 and 112. Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Program. Phoenix. 10 pp.
- Andrews, B.J., K.A. King, and D.L. Baker. 1997. Environmental contaminants in fish and wildlife of Havasu national Wildlife Refuge, Arizona.

USFWS. AZ Ecological Services Field Office. Phoenix. 65 pp.

Andrews, Brenda, and Kirke King. 1997. Environmental contaminants in sediment and fish of Mineral Creek and the middle Gila River, Arizona. USFWS. Phoenix. 18 pp.

Andrews, Brenda, Kirke King, and Denise Baker. 1995. Radionuclides and trace elements in fish and wildlife of the Puerco and Little Colorado rivers, Arizona. USFWS. Phoenix. 20 pp.

Aquatic Consulting and Testing, Inc. 2001. Lake management manual for City of Tucson Parks and Recreation Department. Contract number 001317. Develop maintenance plan for three urban fishing lakes. Tempe, Arizona. 190 pp, plus appendices.

Baker, Larry A. 1994. Sources and fate of arsenic in the Verde and Salt Rivers, Arizona. Presented at the Water Environment Federation Meeting October 17, 1994, Chicago. 9 pp.

Baker, Larry and Leslie Farnsworth. 1995. Feasibility of management options to improve water quality in Rainbow Lake. Arizona State University, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering. Prepared for ADEQ, Clean Lakes Program. Tempe. 53 pp.

Beaulieu, K.M., J.P. Capesius, and J.B. Gebler. 2000. Physical habitat and geomorphic data for selected river reaches in central Arizona basins, 1995-98. NAWQA Program. Open File Report 00-90. Tucson. 107 pp.

BLM. 1993. Riparian area management -- process for assessing proper functioning condition. U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. Technical Report 1737-9. Denver. 51 pp.

BLM. 1997. Suspended sediment monitoring project, San Simon Watershed, Southeast Arizona, 1983-1995. Prepared by Delbert Molitor, BLM Safford Field Office. 71 pp.

BLM. 1997. Riparian area management -- grazing management for riparian and wetland areas. BLM 1737-14 1997. Washington, D.C. 35 pp.

BLM. 1999. Riparian area management -- process for assessing proper functioning condition for lentic riparian-wetland areas. U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. Technical

Report 1737-16. Denver. 37 pp.

BLM. 1998. Riparian area management -- process for assessing proper functioning condition for lotic riparian-wetland areas. U.S. Department of Interior, Bureau of Land Management. Technical Report 1737-15. Denver. 40 pp.

BLM and USFS. 2000. Management for enhancement of riparian and wetland areas of Western United States. BLM 1737-18; USFS GTR-54 CD. Washington, D.C. 50 pp.

Brezonik, Patrick L. 1986. "Trophic state indices: rationale for multivariate approaches" in Lake and Reservoir Management. USEPA Office of Water 440-5-84-001. pp 441-445.

Capesius, Joseph P. and Ted W. Lehman. Determination of channel change for selected streams, Maricopa County, Arizona. USGS water resources investigation report 01-4209. Prepared in cooperation with the Maricopa County Flood Control District. Tucson. 63 pp.

Coes, Alissa, D.J. Gellenbeck, Douglas C. Towne, and Maureen C. Freark. 2000. Ground water quality in the Upper Santa Cruz Basin, Arizona, 1998. USGS Water Resources Investigations Report 00-4117. In cooperation with ADEQ. Tucson, AZ. 55 pp.

Coes, Alissa, D.J. Gellenbeck, and Douglas C. Towne. 1999. Ground-water quality in the Sierra Vista Subbasin, Arizona 1996-97. USGS Water Resources Investigations Report 99-4056. In cooperation with ADEQ. Tucson, AZ. 50 pp.

Compton, A., Faust Jr., R., Salt, D., Blinn, D. In press. Groundwater arsenic in the Verde Valley in Central Arizona. *Applied Geochemistry*. Tucson. 10 pp.

Cordy, Gail and Herman Bower. 1999. Where do the salts go? The potential effects and management of salt accumulation in south-central Arizona. USGS NAWQA Program in cooperation with the U.S. Water Conservation Laboratory. Fact Sheet 170-98. Tucson. 4 pp.

Cordy, Gail E., D.J. Gellenbeck, Joseph B. Gebler, David W. Anning, Allissa L. Coes, R. J. Edmonds, Julie A.H. Rees, and H.W. Sanger. 2000. Water quality in central Arizona basins -- Arizona, 1995-98. USGS Circular

1213. National Water Quality Assessment Program. Tucson. 38 pp.
- Cordy, G.E., J.A. Rees, R.J. Edmonds, J.G. Gebler, Laurie Wirt, D.J. Gellenbeck, and D.W. Anning. 1998. Water quality assessment of the central Arizona basins, Arizona and Northern Mexico – environmental setting and overview of water quality. NAWQA Program. Water Resources Investigations Report 98-4097. Tucson. 72 pp.
- Dahl, Thomas E. 2000. Status and trends of wetlands in the conterminous United States 1986-1997. U.S. Department of Interior, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, Branch of Habitat Assessment. Washington, D.C. 82 pp.
- Earth Technology Corporation, The. 1993. Lower/middle Gila River study and Painted Rocks Lake phase-1 diagnostic feasibility study. Maricopa County, Arizona. Prepared for ADEQ. Phoenix.
- Engberg, Richard A. 1999. "Selenium budgets for Lake Powell and the Upper Colorado River Basin." *Journal of the Am. Water Resources Assoc.* Vol 35, number 4, August 1999. pp 771-786.
- Engineering-Science, Inc. 1994. Analysis of water quality functions of riparian vegetation. Prepared for ADEQ. Contract number 343280S25. Chandler. 235 pp.
- Estrada, K.D. and O.E. Maughan. 1999. Reproductive impacts of elevated selenium levels. Arizona Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, University of Arizona. A final report prepared for the USFWS, Ecological Services Office. Phoenix. 21 pp.
- Federal Interagency Stream Restoration Working Group. 2000 revision. Stream corridor restoration – principles, processes, and practices. GPO Item Number 0120-A; SuDocs number A 57.6/2:EN 3/PT.653. ISBN-0-934213-59-5 Washington, D.C. 574 pp.
- Flynn, M.E., R.J. Hart, G.R. Marsolf, and C.J. Bowser. 2001. Daily and seasonal variability of pH, dissolved oxygen, temperature, and specific conductance in the Colorado River between the forebay of Glen Canyon Dam and Lees Ferry, northeastern Arizona, 1998-1999. USGS open-file report 01-222. Prepared in cooperation with the Grand Canyon Monitoring and Research Center. Tucson. 13 pp.
- Gallenbeck, D.J. and Alissa L. Coes. 1999. Ground water quality in alluvial basins that have minimal urban development, south-central Arizona. USGS Water Resources Investigation Report 99-4005. National Water Quality Assessment Program. Tucson. 27 pp.
- Gebler, Joseph B. 1998. Water quality of selected effluent dependent stream reaches in Southern Arizona as indicated by concentrations of periphytic chloophyll *a* and aquatic invertebrate communities. USGS Water Resources Investigation Report 98-4199. National Water Quality Assessment Program Tucson. 12 pp.
- Gebler, J.B. 2000. Organochlorine compounds in streambed sediment and in biological tissue from streams and their relations to land use, central Arizona. USGS National Water Quality Assessment Program. Tucson. 21 pp.
- Gerritsen, Jeroen and Erik W. Leppo. 1998. Development and testing of a biological index for warmwater streams in Arizona. Tetra Tech, Inc. Prepared for ADEQ's Biocriteria Development Program. Phoenix. 29 pp. plus appendices.
- Gibson, George (EPA), Robert Carlson (Kent State Univ.), Johnathan Simpson (Tetra Tech), Eric Smeltzer (Vermont Dept of Env. Cons.), Jeroen Gerritsen (Tetra Tech), Steven Chapra (Univ. of CO), Steven Heiskary (Minn. Pollution Control), Jack Jones (Univ. of Missouri), and Robert Kennedy (US Army Corps of Eng.). 2000. Nutrient criteria technical guidance manual – Lakes and reservoirs. EPA Office of Water and Office of Science and Technology. EPA-822-800-001. Washington, D.C. 155 pp. plus appendices.
- Gilliom, Robert J., William M. Alley, and Martin E. Gurtz. 1995. Design of the national water quality assessment program: occurrence and distribution of water quality conditions. USGS circular 1112. Sacramento. 33 pp.
- Graf, William L. and Kris Randall. 1998. A guidance document for monitoring and assessing the physical integrity of Arizona's streams. Department of Geography, ASU in cooperation with ADEQ. ADEQ document number TB98-2. Phoenix. 114 pp.

- Harrelson, Cheryl C., C.L. Rawlins, and John P. Potyondy. 1994. Stream channel reference sites: An illustrated guide to field techniques. U.S. Forest Service, Rocky Mountain Forest and Range Experiment Station. General Technical Report RM-245. Fort Collins
- Hart, Robert J. and Kent M. Sherman. 1996. Physical and chemical characteristics of Lake Powell at the forebay and outflows of Glen Canyon Dam, northeastern Arizona, 1990-91. USGS Water Resources Investigation Report 96-4016. Prepared in cooperation with the Bureau of Reclamation. Tucson. 78 pp.
- Holdren, G. Chris, James F. LaBounty, Andrew Montano, and Michael J. Horn. 1998 Limnological investigations of Boulder Basin, Lake Mead, Nevada - Arizona (3rd quarter, 1998). Technical Memorandum # 8220-99-4. US Bureau of Reclamation, Technical Service Center, Denver, CO. 18 pp.
- Kepner, William. 1987. Organochlorine contaminant investigation of the lower Gila River, Arizona. Written for the USFWS. Phoenix. 12 pp.
- King, Kirke A., Anthony L. Velasco, Jackie Record, and Roland L. Kearns. 2001. Contaminants in bats roosting in abandoned mines at Imperial National Wildlife Refuge, Arizona, 1998-1999. USFWS Region 2 Contaminants Program. Phoenix. 35 pp.
- King, Kirke A., Anthony L. Velasco, Jaqueline Garcia-Hernandez, Brenda J. Zaun, Jackie Record, and Julie Wesley. 2000. Contaminants in potential prey of the Yuma Clapper Rail: Arizona and California, USA, and Sonora and Baja, Mexico, 1998-1999. USFWS Region 2 Contaminants Program. Phoenix. 27 pp.
- King, Kirke A., Brenda J. Zaun, and Anthony L. Velasco. 1999. Contaminants as a limiting factor of fish and wildlife populations in the Santa Cruz River, Arizona. USFWS. 57 pp.
- King, Kirke A. and Mike Martinez. 1998. Metals in fish collected from Aravaipa Creek, Arizona, October 1997. USFWS Region 2 Contaminant Program. Phoenix. 7 pp.
- King, Kirke A., Brenda J. Andrews, Cynthia T. Martinez, and William G. Kepner. 1997. Environmental contaminants in fish and wildlife of the lower Gila River, Arizona. USFWS Region 2 Contaminant Program. Phoenix. 71 pp.
- King, Kirke A. and Brenda J. Andrews. 1997. Environmental contaminants in ducks collected from wastewater treatment plant ponds, Nogales, Arizona 1996. USFWS Region 2 Contaminant Program Phoenix. 12 pp.
- King, Kirke A., C.T. Martinez, and P.C. Rosen. 1996. Contaminants in Sonoran mud turtles from Quitobaquito Springs, Organ Pipe Cactus national monument, Arizona. USFWS Region 2 Contaminant Program. Phoenix. 11 pp.
- King, Kirke A, Denise L. Baker, William G. Kepner, and C.T. Martinez. 1993. Contaminants in sediment and fish from national wildlife refuges on the Colorado River, Arizona. USFWS Region 2. Phoenix, 24 pp.
- King, Kirke A. and Denise L. Baker. 1995a. Contaminants in fish and wildlife of the middle Gila River, Arizona. USFWS. Phoenix. 17 pp.
- King, Kirke A. and Denise L. Baker. 1995b. Contaminants in potential Aplomado falcon prey from proposed reintroduction sites in Arizona. USFWS. Phoenix. 22 pp.
- Larson, S.J., P.D. Capel, and M.S. Majewski. 1997. Pesticides in surface waters. Current understanding of distribution and major influences. USGS Fact Sheet FS-039-97. Based on a book by the same name (Ann Arbor Press) Sacramento. 4 pp.
- Leake, S.A., A.D. Konieczki, and J.A.H. Rees. 2000. Ground water resources for the future—Desert Basins of the Southwest. USGS Fact Sheet 086-00. Tucson. 4 pp.
- Leopold, L. B. 1994. A view of the river. Cambridge, Mass.: Harvard University Press, 298 pp.
- Leppo, Erik W., and Jeroen Gerritsen. 2000. Development and testing of a biological index for coldwater streams of Arizona. Tetra Tech, Inc. Prepared for ADEQ's Biocriteria Development Program. Phoenix. 16 pp. plus appendices.
- Littin, G.R., Margot Truini, H.A. Pierce, and B.M. Baum. 2000. Occurrence and quality of surface water and ground water within the Yavapai-

Prescott Indian Reservation, Central Arizona, 1994-98. USGS Water Resources Investigation Report 00-4144. Prepared in cooperation with the Yavapai-Prescott Indian Tribe. Tucson. 99 pp.

Lopes, Thomas J. and John P. Hoffman. 1997. Geochemical analysis of ground water ages, recharge rates and hydraulic conductivity of the N aquifer, Black Mesa area, Arizona. Prepared by USGS in cooperation with the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Navajo Dept. of Water Resources Management. Water Resources Investigation Report 96-4190. Tucson. 42 pp.

McCammon, Bruce, John Rector, and Karl Gebhardt. 1998. A framework for analyzing the hydrologic conditions of watersheds. US Forest Service and US Bureau of Land Management guidance manual. BLM technical note 405. Denver, Colorado. 37 pp.

Majewski, M.S. and P.D. Capel. 1995. Pesticides in the atmosphere. Current understanding of distribution and major influences. USGS fact sheet FS-152-95. From a book by the same name (Ann Arbor Press, Inc.). Sacramento. 4 pp.

Marzolf, Richard, Robert J. Hart, and Doyle W. Stephens. 1998. Depth profiles of temperature, specific conductance, and oxygen concentration in Lake Powell, Arizona-Utah, 1992-95. USGS open-file report 97-835. Prepared in cooperation with Bureau of Reclamation. Tucson. 119 pp.

Medina, A L. 2001. A preliminary analysis of riparian habitat conditions of the upper Verde River. Prepared for Rocky Mt. Experiment Station, USFS-USDA. Flagstaff. 9 pp.

Medina, A L. 2001. A preliminary view of water quality conditions of the upper Verde River. Prepared for Rocky Mt. Experiment Station, USFS-USDA. Flagstaff. 9 pp.

Moody, Thomas O. and Wilbert Odem. 1999. Regional relationships for bankfull stage in natural channels of Central and Southern Arizona. Northern Arizona University, College of Engineering and Technology. Flagstaff. 37 pp.

Munill, Carrie, Mark Anderson, Lewis Boobar. 2001. 2000 beach waste survey. Division of Resource Management, Glen Canyon National

Recreation Area. Page, AZ. 16 pp.

National Park Service. 1995. Bacteria water quality data analysis and interpretation – Glen Canyon National Recreation Area. Technical Report NPS/NRWRD/NRTR-95/46. Prepared by Barry Long and Rebecca Smith. Fort Collins, CO. pp. 147.

Neary, D G. and M B Baker, Jr. 2001. An overview of Rocky Mountain Research Station Verde River Watershed research over the past five decades. Prepared for Rocky Mt. Experiment Station, USFS-USDA. Flagstaff. 4 pp.

Neary, D G and J N Rinne. 2001. Base flow trends and native fish in the upper Verde River. Prepared for Rocky Mt. Experiment Station, USFS-USDA. Flagstaff. 6 pp.

Nevada Division of Environmental Protection. 1998. Las Vegas Wash – Lake Mead water quality standards rational. Las Vegas, Nevada. 158 pp.

Nolan, Bernard T., Barbara C. Ruddy, Kerie J. Hitt, and Dennis R. Helsel. 1999. A national look at nitrate contamination of groundwater. USGS. Reprinted from *Conditioning and Purification Magazine* 1999.

Nowell, L.H., P.D. Capel, and P.D. Dileanis. 2000. Pesticides in stream sediment and aquatic biota. Current understanding and distribution and major influences. USGS Fact Sheet 092-00. Based on a book by the same name (Ann Arbor Press, Inc.). Sacramento. 4 pp.

Odem, Wilbert I., Scott Blossom, Joshua B. Gilman, Sean P. Welch. 2001. (Draft) Regional relationships between hydrologic and hydraulic parameters in the state of Arizona. 6 pp. and appendices.

Odem, Wilbert I, Scott Blossom, Joseph Loverich, Barbara Orchard, and Nathan Wallace. 2001. (Draft) Bank erosion at ADEQ biocriteria reference sites in the Verde River and San Pedro River surface water basins. Under contract with ADEQ. Northern Arizona University. Flagstaff. 17 pp and appendices.

Odem, Wilbert I., Scott Blossom, Joseph Loverich, Barbara Orchard, and Nathan Wallace. 2001. (Draft) Evaluation of the BEHI bank erosion prediction model in the Verde River and San Pedro River surface water basins. Under contract with ADEQ. Northern Arizona University.

Flagstaff. 40 pp. and appendices.

Philips Consulting. 2001. Yuma east wetlands restoration. Drafted April 30, 2001. Prepared for the Riverfront Development Office, Yuma. Arizona. 146 pp.

Prieto, F.G. 1998. Selenium and water quality in three wetland types along the lower Colorado River – Imperial National Wildlife Refuge. Masters of Science thesis, School of Renewable Natural Resources, UA. Tucson. 109 pp.

Rosgen, Dave. 1996. Applied river morphology. Wildland Hydrology, Pagosa Springs Colorado. Printed by Media Company, Minneapolis. 352 pp.

Shafroth, Partick Barnes. 1999. Downstream effects of dams on riparian vegetation (*Tamarix rimosissima*): Bill Williams River, Arizona. In cooperation with Arizona State University. Tempe, AZ. 156 pp.

Tadayon, S., K.A. King, B.J. Andrews, and W.P. Roberts. 1997. Field screening of water quality, bottom sediment, and biota associated with irrigation drain water in the Yuma Valley, Arizona, 1995. USGS and USFWS. USGS Water-Resources Investigations Report 97-4236. Tucson. 42 pp.

Tetra Tech, Inc. 1999a. Total maximum daily load and implementation plan for mercury – Arivaca Lake, Arizona. Prepared for ADEQ and EPA. Phoenix. 72 pp.

Tetra Tech, Inc. 1999b. Total maximum daily load and implementation plan for mercury – Pena Blanca Lake, Arizona. Prepared for ADEQ and EPA. Phoenix. 69 pp.

Tetra Tech, Inc. 2000a. Rainbow Lake: Total maximum daily load study. Prepared for ADEQ. Phoenix. 93 pp.

Tetra Tech, Inc. 2000b. Luna Lake Total Maximum Daily Load. Prepared for ADEQ. Phoenix. 99 pp.

Tetra Tech, Inc. 2000c. Verde River assimilative capacity data summary report. Prepared for ADEQ. Phoenix. 71 pp.

US - Mexico Border Field Coordinating Committee. 1997. Water resources

issues in the Mexican Highlands subarea. U.S. Department of Interior Fact Sheet. Washington, DC. 8 pp.

US Army Corps of Engineers. 2000. Tres Rios, Arizona feasibility study. Los Angeles District South Pacific Division.

US Department of the Interior. 1999. Quality of water – Colorado River Basin. Progress Report 19. Prepared by Bureau of Reclamation. Utah. 196 pp.

USEPA. 1998. Lake and reservoir bioassessment and biocriteria – Technical guidance document. Office of Water. EPA 841-B-98-007. Washington, D.C. 132 pp. plus appendices.

USEPA. 1999a. Guidance for assessing chemical contaminant data for use in fish advisories. Volume 1-- Fish sampling and analysis. Third edition. EPA Office of Water. EPA- 823-B-99-007. Washington D.C. 262 pp. plus appendices.

USEPA. 1999b. Guidance for assessing chemical contaminant data for use in fish advisories. Volume 2 -- Risk assessment and fish consumption limits. Third edition. EPA Office of Water. EPA- 823-B-99-008. Washington D.C. 260 pp. plus appendices.

USEPA. 1999c. Rapid bioassessment protocols for use in wadable streams and rivers; periphyton, benthic macroinvertebrates, and fish. Second edition. EPA Office of Water. EPA-841-B-99-002. Washington D.C. 326 pp.

USEPA. 1999d. Protocol for developing sediment TMDLs. EPA 841-B-99-004. Office of Water (4503F). Washington, D.C. 132 pp.

USEPA. 2000a. Stressor identification guidance document. Office of Water and Office of Research and Development. Washington, D.C. 154 pp. plus appendices.

USEPA. 2000b. Guidelines for preparation of the comprehensive state water quality assessments (305(b) reports) and electronic updates: report contents and supplement. Office of Water. EPA-841-B-97-002A and -002B. Washington D.C. 500 pp.

USEPA. 2000c. The guidelines establishing test procedures for the analysis of

pollutants. Code of Federal Register 136, July 1, 2001

USEPA. 2001. "2002 integrated Water Quality Monitoring and Assessment Report Guidance" issued November 2001 by Robert H. Wayland III, Director Office of Wetlands, Oceans, and Watersheds to EPA regional offices, states, and tribal authorities.

USFS. 2001. Watershed condition assessment for select Verde River 5th code watersheds. Prescott. 144 pp.

Villegas, S.V. 1997. Dynamics of selenium in Cibola Lake, Arizona. PhD dissertation, Cooperative Fish and Wildlife Research Unit, UA. Tucson. 107 pp.

Wahl, C.R., S.R. Boe, J.A. Wennerlund, R.A. Winstead, L.J. Allison, and D.M. Kubly. 1997. Remote sensing mapping of Arizona intermittent stream riparian areas. Arizona Game and Fish Department, Nongame and Endangered Wildlife Program Technical Report 112. Phoenix. 58 pp.

Welch, Alan H., Sharon A. Watkins, Dennis r. Helsel, and Michael J. Focazio. 2000. Arsenic in ground water resources of the United States. USGS Fact Sheet 063-00. Denver. 4 pp.

Wester Water Policy Review Advisory Commission. 1998. Water in the west: challenge for the next century. Final Report by this Congressional appointed commission. National Technical Information Service Springfield, Virginia. 293 pp. plus appendices.

Westerhoff, Paul and Larry Baker. 2001. Role of Verde River reservoirs on water quality: from arsenic to algae. ASU. *Verde River Symposium Proceedings*. 1 pp.

Winter, Thomas C., Judson W. Harvey, O. Lehn Franke, and William M. Alley. 1998. Ground water and surface water – a single resource. USGS Circular 1139. Denver. 79 pp.